

**REAL ALGEBRAIC GEOMETRY LECTURE NOTES**  
**(07: 30/04/15)**

SALMA KUHLMANN

CONTENTS

1. Pseudo-completeness	1
------------------------	---

1. PSEUDO-COMPLETENESS

Let  $(V, v)$  be a valued  $Q$ -vector space. We recall that

- $(V, v)$  is **maximally valued** if  $(V, v)$  admits no proper immediate extension.
- $(V, v)$  is **pseudo-complete** if every pseudo-convergent sequence in  $V$  has a pseudo-limit in  $V$ .

**Theorem 1.1.**  *$(V, v)$  is maximally valued if and only if  $(V, v)$  is pseudo-complete.*

Today we will prove the implication:

$(V, v)$  pseudo-complete  $\Rightarrow$   $(V, v)$  maximally valued.

It follows from the following proposition:

**Proposition 1.2.** *Let  $(V, v)$  be an immediate extension of  $(V_0, v)$ . Then any element in  $V$  which is not in  $V_0$  is a pseudo-limit of a pseudo-Cauchy sequence of elements of  $V_0$ , without a pseudo-limit in  $V_0$ .*

Note that once the proposition is established we have pseudo complete  $\Rightarrow$  maximally valued. If not, assume that  $(V, v)$  is not maximally valued. Then there is a proper immediate extension  $(V', v')$  of  $(V, v)$ . Let  $y \in V' \setminus V$ . By the proposition  $y$  is a pseudo-limit of a pseudo-Cauchy sequence in  $V$  without pseudo-limit in  $(V, v)$ , a contradiction.

*Proof.* (of the proposition)

Let  $z \in V \setminus V_0$ . Consider the set

$$X = \{v(z - a) : a \in V_0\} \subset \Gamma.$$

Since  $z \notin V_0$ ,  $\infty \notin X$ . We show that  $X$  can not have a maximal element. Otherwise, let  $a_0 \in V_0$  be such that  $v(z - a_0)$  is maximal in  $X$ . By the

characterization of immediate extensions, there exists some  $a_1 \in V_0$  such that  $v((z - a_0) - a_1) > v(z - a_0)$ . So  $a_0 + a_1 \in V_0$  and  $v(z - (a_0 + a_1)) > v(z - a_0)$ , a contradiction. Thus,  $X$  has no greatest element.

Select from  $X$  a well-ordered cofinal subset  $\{\alpha_\rho\}_{\rho \in \lambda}$ . Note that  $\{\alpha_\rho\}_{\rho \in \lambda}$  has no last element, as  $\lambda$  is a limit ordinal.

For every  $\rho \in \lambda$  choose an element  $a_\rho \in V_0$  with

$$v(z - a_\rho) = \alpha_\rho.$$

The identity

$$a_\sigma - a_\rho = (z - a_\rho) - (z - a_\sigma)$$

and the inequality

$$v(z - a_\rho) < v(z - a_\sigma) \quad (\forall \rho < \sigma \in \lambda)$$

imply

$$(*) \quad v(a_\sigma - a_\rho) = v(z - a_\rho).$$

Thus,  $\{a_\rho\}_{\rho \in \lambda}$  is pseudo-convergent with  $z$  as a pseudo-limit.

Finally suppose that  $\{a_\rho\}_{\rho \in \lambda}$  has a further limit  $z_1 \in V_0$ .

By a result from the last lecture we have

$$v(z - z_1) > v(a_\sigma - a_\rho).$$

Combining this with (\*) we get

$$v(z - z_1) > v(z - a_\rho) = \alpha_\rho \quad \forall \rho \in \lambda$$

and this is a contradiction, since  $\{\alpha_\rho\}_{\rho \in \lambda}$  is cofinal in  $X$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 1.3.** *Suppose that*

(i)  $V_i$  and  $V'_i$  are  $Q$ -valued vector spaces and  $V'_i$  is an immediate extension of  $V_i$  for  $i = 1, 2$ .

(ii)  $h : V_1 \rightarrow V_2$  is an isomorphism of valued vector spaces.

(iii)  $V'_2$  is pseudo-complete.

*Then there exists an embedding  $h' : V'_1 \rightarrow V'_2$  such that  $h'$  extends  $h$ . Moreover  $h'$  is an isomorphism of valued vector spaces if and only if  $V'_1$  is pseudo-complete.*

*Proof.* The picture is the following:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V'_1 & \xrightarrow{h'} & V'_2 \\ \text{immediate} \Big| & & \Big| \text{immediate} \\ V_1 & \xrightarrow[h]{\sim} & V_2 \end{array}$$

Consider the collection of triples  $(M_1, M_2, g)$ , where

$$V_1 \subseteq M_1 \subseteq V'_1,$$

$$V_2 \subseteq M_2 \subseteq V'_2,$$

and  $g$  a valuation preserving isomorphism of  $M_1$  onto  $M_2$  extending  $h$ .

This collection is non-empty, because  $(V_1, V_2, h)$  belongs to it. Moreover, one can show that every chain has an upper bound ( $\ddot{U}A$ ). Therefore the conditions of Zorn's lemma are satisfied, i.e. there exists a maximal such triple  $(M_1, M_2, g)$ . We claim that  $M_1 = V_1'$ . Assume for a contradiction there exists some  $y_1 \in V_1' \setminus M_1$ .

(**Note:** If  $V_0 \subset V_1 \subset V_2$  are extensions of valued vector spaces and  $V_2|V_0$  is immediate, then  $V_2|V_1$  and  $V_1|V_0$  are immediate)

Since  $V_1'$  is an immediate extension of  $M_1$ , there exists a pseudo-convergent sequence  $S = \{a_\rho\}_{\rho \in \lambda}$  of  $M_1$  without a pseudo-limit in  $M_1$ , but with a pseudo-limit  $y_1 \in V_1'$ . Consider  $g(S) = \{g(a_\rho)\}_{\rho \in \lambda}$ .

(**Facts/ $\ddot{U}A$ :**

- (i) the image of a pseudo-convergent sequence under a valuation preserving isomorphism is pseudo-convergent.
- (ii) the image of a pseudo-limit of a pseudo-convergent sequence under a valuation preserving isomorphism is a pseudo-limit of the image of the pseudo-convergent sequence.
- (iii) the image of a pseudo-complete vector space under a valuation preserving isomorphism is pseudo-complete.)

Since  $g$  is a valuation preserving isomorphism,  $g(S)$  is a pseudo-convergent sequence of  $M_2$  without a pseudo-limit in  $M_2$  but with a pseudo-limit  $y_2 \in V_2'$ , because  $V_2'$  is pseudo-complete.

Let  $M_i' = \langle M_i, y_i \rangle_Q$  for  $i = 1, 2$ , and denote by  $g'$  the unique  $Q$ -vector space isomorphism of the linear space  $M_1'$  onto the linear space  $M_2'$  extending  $g$  such that  $g'(y_1) = y_2$ .

We show that  $g'$  is valuation preserving: let

$$y = x + qy_1 \quad x \in M_1 \quad (q \in Q \setminus \{0\})$$

be an arbitrary element of  $M_1' \setminus M$ . The sequence

$$S(y) = \{x + qa_\rho\}_{\rho \in \lambda}$$

is pseudo-convergent in  $M_1$  with pseudo-limit  $y \in M_1'$  and 0 is not a pseudo-limit (otherwise  $-x/q \in M_1$  would be a pseudo-limit of  $S$ ).

It follows that (since  $y = x + qy_1$  is a pseudo-limit for the sequence  $x + qa_\rho$  which does not have 0 as a pseudo-limit)

$$v(y) = \text{Ult } S(y)$$

and similarly

$$v(g'(y)) = \text{Ult } S(g'(y)),$$

where

$$S(g'(y)) = \{g'(x) + qg'(a_\rho)\}_{\rho \in \lambda}$$

is a pseudo-convergent sequence of  $M_2$  with pseudo-limit  $g'(y) \in M_2'$ .

Now  $g'_{|M_1} = g$  is valuation preserving from  $M_1$  to  $M_2$ . So we have

$$\text{Ult}(S(y)) = \text{Ult}(S(g'(y))),$$

hence

$$v(y) = v(g'(y))$$

as required.

Now if  $h'$  is onto, then  $V'_1$  is pseudo-complete. Conversely, if  $V'_1$  is pseudo-complete, then  $h'(V'_1)$  is also pseudo-complete and hence maximally valued. So the immediate extension  $V'_2|h'(V'_1)$  cannot be proper, i.e.  $h'(V'_1) = V'_2$ . Thus,  $h'$  is onto as claimed.  $\square$