

# A dichotomy for $T$ -convex fields with a monomial group

Elliot Kaplan, Christoph Kesting\*

McMaster University - Department of Mathematics and Statistics

## The Dichotomy

For  $\mathfrak{o}$ -minimal fields  $\mathcal{R} \models T$ , expanded by a  $T$ -convex valuation ring and a monomial group:

- If  $T$  is power bounded, then this expansion of  $\mathcal{R}$  is well-behaved.
- If  $\mathcal{R}$  defines an exponential function, then  $\mathbb{N}$  is externally definable.

## $\mathfrak{o}$ -minimal Preliminaries

Let  $T$  be a complete  $\mathfrak{o}$ -minimal theory extending the theory of  $(\mathbb{R}; 0, 1, <, +, -, \cdot)$  in an appropriate language  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $\mathcal{R}$  be a model of  $T$ .

A **power function** is a function that looks like  $f : x \mapsto x^\lambda$ , where  $\lambda := f'(1) \in \mathcal{R}$ . These  $\lambda$  form the (sub)field  $\Lambda$  of exponents of  $\mathcal{R}$ . By Miller's dichotomy [Mil96], either  $\mathcal{R}$  is

- **power bounded**, i.e. definable functions are eventually bounded by a power function, or
- $\mathcal{R}$  defines an **exponential function** i.e.  $\exp : \mathcal{R} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{R}^>$  with  $\exp = \exp'$ .

## $T$ -convex Fields

A  **$T$ -convex subring**  $\mathcal{O}$  of  $\mathcal{R}$  is a convex subset of  $\mathcal{R}$  which is closed under all  $\mathcal{L}(\emptyset)$ -definable continuous functions  $f : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ . If  $\mathcal{O}$  is a proper subring of  $T$ , it also is a valuation ring, and  $(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{O})$  is an ordered valued field (see [DL95]).

Let  $\Gamma = \mathcal{R}^\times / \mathcal{O}^\times$  be the value group and we let  $v : \mathcal{R}^\times \rightarrow \Gamma$  denote the surjective valuation map.

A **monomial group**  $\mathfrak{M}$  is the image of a section  $s$  of the valuation  $v$ . We ask that

- $\mathfrak{M}$  is closed under all power functions, or that
- $\mathfrak{M}^\succ := \{\mathfrak{m} \in \mathfrak{M} : \mathfrak{m} > 1\}$  is closed under  $\exp$ , respectively.

We will be working in mutually interpretable expansions of  $\mathcal{L}$ :

- $\mathcal{L}_{\Gamma, \mathbf{k}, s}$ , the three sorted valued fields expansion with the section  $s$
- $\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{M}}$ , the expansion by a predicate for  $\mathfrak{M}$

In each case denote the expansion of  $T$  by  $T_{\mathfrak{M}}$ .

## The Power Bounded Case

If  $T$  is power bounded then the following holds:

- Suppose  $T$  has quantifier elimination and a universal axiomatization. Then  $T_{\mathfrak{M}}$  has quantifier elimination in  $\mathcal{L}_{\Gamma, \mathbf{k}, s}$ .
- The theory  $T_{\mathfrak{M}}$  is complete.
- If  $T$  is model complete, then  $T_{\mathfrak{M}}$  is also model complete in the language  $\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{M}}$ .
- If  $\mathcal{L}$  is finite and  $T$  decidable,  $T_{\mathfrak{M}}$  is decidable.
- $\Gamma$  is purely stably embedded as an ordered  $\Lambda$ -vector space and orthogonal to the residue field, which is purely stably embedded as a model of  $T$ .
- $T_{\mathfrak{M}}$  is distal, therefore NIP, but not strong.
- For  $A \subseteq \mathcal{R}$ , every  $\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{M}}(A)$ -definable subset of  $\mathcal{R}$  is the union of an  $\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{M}}(A)$ -definable open set and finitely many  $\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{M}}(A)$ -definable discrete sets.

## Example (Puiseux series)

The field of Puiseux series

$$\mathbb{R}((t^{1/\infty})) := \left\{ \sum_{i \geq i_0} c_i t^{i/n} : i_0 \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$$

is a model of  $T_{\text{an}}$  by interpreting analytic  $f : [0, 1]^n \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$  via their Taylor series expansions.

- $T_{\text{an}}$  is power bounded.
- The convex hull of  $\mathbb{R}$  (all series with only non-negative exponents of  $t$ ) is a  $T_{\text{an}}$ -convex ring.
- The subgroup  $t^{\mathbb{Q}} = \{t^q : q \in \mathbb{Q}\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}((t^{1/\infty}))^\succ$  is a monomial group.

## The Exponential Case

If  $T$  defines an exponential function, then is a definable set  $A \subseteq \mathcal{R} \models T_{\mathfrak{M}}$  with  $\mathbb{N} \subseteq A$  such that if  $a \in A \setminus \mathbb{N}$ , then  $a > \mathbb{N}$ .

Consequently,  $\mathbb{N}$  is externally definable in any model of  $T_{\mathfrak{M}}$ , and if  $T$  has an archimedean model, then  $\mathbb{N}$  is definable in some model of  $T_{\mathfrak{M}}$ .

Now let  $T$  define an exponential function  $\exp$ .

## Fact

The additive group of  $\mathcal{R}$  admits the direct sum decomposition  $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{O} \oplus \log(\mathfrak{M})$ .

Inspired by Camacho's work on Hahn fields in [Cam18], let  $a \in \mathcal{R}$  and  $\mathfrak{m} \in \mathfrak{M}$ . By the Fact above, there is a unique  $b \in \mathfrak{m} \log(\mathfrak{M})$  with  $a - b \in \mathfrak{m}\mathcal{O}$ .

We define  $a|_{\mathfrak{m}} := b$ , so  $(a, \mathfrak{m}) \mapsto a|_{\mathfrak{m}}$  is an  $\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{M}}(\emptyset)$ -definable function. We also define

$$\text{supp}(a) := \{\mathfrak{m} \in \mathfrak{M} : v(a - a|_{\mathfrak{m}}) = v(\mathfrak{m})\},$$

so  $\text{supp}(a)$  is an  $\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{M}}(a)$ -definable subset of  $\mathfrak{M}$ .

## Example (Transseries)

Let  $\mathbb{T}$ , the log-exp transseries, be a model of  $T_{\text{an}, \exp}$  extended by the convex hull of  $\mathbb{R}$  as valuation ring and the transmonomials as monomial group  $\mathfrak{M}$ .

Then for  $a \in \mathbb{T}$ :

- $a|_{\mathfrak{m}}$  is exactly the truncation of  $a$  at a transmonomial  $\mathfrak{m}$ ,
- and  $\text{supp}(a)$  is exactly the support of  $a$ .

If  $\mathfrak{m}$  is an infinitesimal transmonomial in  $\mathbb{T}$ , then

$$\text{supp}\left(\frac{1}{1 - \mathfrak{m}}\right) = \{\mathfrak{m}^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

Thus, in  $\mathbb{T}$  with a monomial group  $\mathfrak{M}$  we can define

$$\mathbb{N} = \left\{ \frac{\log \mathfrak{n}}{\log \mathfrak{m}} : \mathfrak{n} \in \text{supp}\left(\frac{1}{1 - \mathfrak{m}}\right) \right\}.$$

## Proposition

Let  $\mathfrak{m} \in \mathfrak{M}$  with  $\mathfrak{m} < 1$ . Then

$$\mathfrak{m}^n \in \text{supp}\left(\frac{1}{1 - \mathfrak{m}}\right) \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N},$$

and if  $\mathfrak{n} \in \text{supp}(1/(1 - \mathfrak{m}))$ , then either  $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{m}^n$  for some  $n$  or  $\mathfrak{n} < \mathfrak{m}^n$  for all  $n$ .

Idea: Using the geometric series, we can write

$$\frac{1}{(1 - \mathfrak{m})} = 1 + \mathfrak{m} + \mathfrak{m}^2 + \dots + \varepsilon,$$

where  $\varepsilon < \mathfrak{m}^n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

## Proof of the Exponential Case

Fix  $\mathfrak{m} \in \mathfrak{M}$  with  $\mathfrak{m} < 1$  and let  $A$  be the definable set

$$A := \left\{ a \in \mathcal{R} : \exp(a \log \mathfrak{m}) \in \text{supp}\left(\frac{1}{1 - \mathfrak{m}}\right) \right\}.$$

Then  $a \in A$  if and only if  $a \in \mathbb{N}$  or  $a > \mathbb{N}$ , by the Proposition.  $\square$

## References

- [Cam18] S. Camacho. *Truncation in differential Hahn fields*. - PhD thesis, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, 2018.
- [DL95] L. van den Dries and A. Lewenberg.  $T$ -convexity and tame extensions. *J. Symbolic Logic*, 60(1):74–102, 1995.
- [KK23] E. Kaplan and C. Kesting. A dichotomy for  $T$ -convex fields with a monomial group. *Mathematical Logic Quarterly*, to appear.
- [Mil96] C. Miller. A growth dichotomy for  $\mathfrak{o}$ -minimal expansions of ordered fields. In *Logic: from foundations to applications (Staffordshire, 1993)*, Oxford Sci. Publ., pages 385–399. Oxford Univ. Press, New York, 1996.

## Contact Information

- Web: christophkeesting.github.io
- Email: keestingc@mcmaster.ca