

REAL ALGEBRAIC GEOMETRY LECTURE NOTES
(11: 18/05/15 - CORRECTED ON 22/05/19)

SALMA KUHLMANN

CONTENTS

1. The field of generalized power series 1

1. THE FIELD OF GENERALIZED POWER SERIES

Let $k \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be an Archimedean field and G an ordered abelian group. Recall that we have defined a (totally) ordered abelian group, namely the Hahn product

$$\mathbb{K} := \mathbb{H}_G(k, +, 0, <),$$

i.e. take the Hahn product over the family $S := [G, \{k : g \in G\}]$ with the lexicographic ordering, i.e.

$$\mathbb{K} := \{s : G \rightarrow k : \text{support } s \text{ is well-ordered in } G\},$$

where $\text{support } s := \{g \in G : s(g) \neq 0\}$.

Endow this set with pointwise addition of functions, i.e. $\forall s, r \in \mathbb{K}$

$$(s + r)(g) := s(g) + r(g) \in k,$$

and the lexicographic order:

$$s > 0 \Leftrightarrow s(\min \text{support}(s)) > 0 \text{ in } k \quad \forall s \in \mathbb{K} \setminus \{0\}.$$

We have verified that $(\mathbb{K}, +, <_{\text{lex}})$ is an ordered abelian group. Our first goal of today is to make \mathbb{K} into a (totally) ordered field. We need to define multiplication.

Notation 1.1. For $s \in \mathbb{K}$ write

$$s = \sum_{g \in G} s(g)t^g = \sum_{g \in \text{support } s} s(g)t^g.$$

Definition 1.2. For $r, s \in \mathbb{K}$ define

$$(rs)(g) := \sum_{h \in G} r(g-h)s(h),$$

i.e.

$$sr = \sum_{g \in G} \left(\sum_{h \in G} r(g-h)s(h) \right) t^g.$$

We now adress the following problem: Let $\mathfrak{F} := \{s_i : i \in I\} \subseteq \mathbb{K}$. Can we "make sense" of $\sum_{i \in I} s_i$ as an element of \mathbb{K} ?

Definition 1.3.

- (i) The family \mathfrak{F} is said to be **summable**, if
- (1) $\text{support } \mathfrak{F} := \bigcup_{i \in I} \text{support } s_i$ is well-ordered in G ,
 - (2) $\forall g \in \text{support } \mathfrak{F}$, the set $S_g := \{i \in I : g \in \text{support } s_i\}$ is finite.

(ii) Assume that \mathfrak{F} is summable. Write

$$\sum_{i \in I} s_i := \sum_{g \in \text{support } \mathfrak{F}} \left(\sum_{i \in S_g} s_i(g) \right) t^g.$$

We now prove that this multiplication is well-defined. For $h \in G$ define

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_h &:= t^h r := \sum_{g \in G} r(g) t^{g+h} \\ &= \sum_{g \in \text{support } r} r(g) t^{g+h}, \end{aligned}$$

i.e. $\rho_h(g) = r(g-h) \forall g \in G$. Note that $\rho_h \in \mathbb{K}$ because

$$\text{support } \rho_h = \text{support } r \oplus \{h\} = \{g+h : g \in \text{support } r\},$$

which is again well-ordered (ÜA).

We now consider

$$\mathfrak{F} := \{s(h)\rho_h : h \in \text{support } s\}.$$

Lemma 1.4. \mathfrak{F} is summable.

Note that once the lemma is established we define

$$sr = \sum_{h \in \text{support } s} s(h)\rho_h = \sum_{g \in \text{support } \mathfrak{F}} \left(\sum_{h \in S_g} s(h)\rho_h(g) \right) t^g,$$

and comparing, we see that this is the product.

Proof. (1) Show that $\text{support } \mathfrak{F} = \bigcup_{h \in \text{support } s} \text{support}(\rho_h s(h))$ is well-ordered. Indeed

$$\begin{aligned} \bigcup_{h \in \text{support } s} \text{support}(\rho_h s(h)) &= \bigcup_{h \in \text{support } s} (\text{support } r \oplus \{h\}) \\ &= \text{support } s \oplus \text{support } r. \end{aligned}$$

ÜA: If A, B are well-ordered, then $A \oplus B$ is well-ordered.

(2) Show that $S_g = \{h \in \text{support } s : g \in \text{support}(\rho_h s(h))\}$ is finite for $g \in \text{support } \mathfrak{F}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} S_g &:= \{h \in \text{support } s : g \in \text{support } r \oplus \{h\}\} \\ &= \{h \in \text{support } s : g = g' + h, g' \in \text{support } r\} \\ &= \{h \in \text{support } s : g - h \in \text{support } r\}. \end{aligned}$$

Assume S_g is infinite. Since S_g is well-ordered, take an infinite strictly increasing sequence in it, say a sequence of h 's in it. But then $g - h$'s is an infinite strictly decreasing sequence in support r , contradicting that support r is well-ordered. \square

Note we have shown that $\text{support}(rs) \subseteq \text{support } r \oplus \text{support } s$.

Notation 1.5. $\mathbb{K} = k((G))$.

Our next goal is to show that $k((G))$ with the convolution multiplication is a field. We give two proofs:

- (1) Follows from "Neumann's lemma" (now)
- (2) From S. Priek-Crampe: $k((G))$ is pseudo-complete (later)

Lemma 1.6. (*Neumann's lemma*)

Let $\varepsilon \in k((G))$ such that $\text{support } \varepsilon \subseteq G^{>0}$ (written $\varepsilon \in k((G^{>0}))$) and $\{c_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset k^*$. Then the family $\mathfrak{F} = \{c_n \varepsilon^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is summable, i.e. $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} c_n \varepsilon^n \in k((G))$.

Corollary 1.7. $k((G))$ is a field.

Proof. Let $s \in k((G))$, $s \neq 0$. Set $g_0 := \min \text{support } s$ and $c_0 = s(g_0) \neq 0$. Write

$$s = c_0 t^{g_0} (1 - \varepsilon),$$

where

$$\varepsilon = - \sum_{\substack{g > g_0 \\ g \in \text{support } s}} \frac{s(g)}{c_0} t^{g-g_0} \in k((G^{>0})),$$

so

$$s^{-1} := c_0^{-1} t^{-g_0} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \varepsilon^i \right).$$

Verify that

$$\left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \varepsilon^i \right) (1 - \varepsilon) = 1,$$

i.e.

$$(1 - \varepsilon)^{-1} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \varepsilon^i.$$

\square