

Singapore for Mark's
63rd Birthday!

~ August 7th 2024 ~

The automorphism group of a valued field.

Aut. ord.
Ab. gp
↓

I. Introduction and motivation.

Let (K, v) $v: K^\times \rightarrow vK := G$
 $v(a+b) \geq \min(v(a), v(b))$
Def: $v(ab) = v(a) + v(b)$

$\sigma \in v\text{-Aut } K$ iff $\forall a, b \in K^\times$
 $v(a) = v(b) \iff \sigma(v(a)) = \sigma(v(b))$
 $v\text{-Aut } K \cong \text{Aut}(K)$.

Conditions on (K, v) :
• always assume that is a value group section, i.e. an embedding $i: vK \hookrightarrow (K^\times, \cdot)$
• assume that there is a residue field section, i.e. an embedding j

$$\iota: K/v \hookrightarrow K$$

→ Valuation Ring = R_K :
 $= \{x \in K \mid v(x) \geq 0\}$
Maximal Ideal = I_K
 $= \{x \in K \mid v(x) > 0\}$

R_K/I_K

$= K/v$

- 1 - 7.8.2024

There will be 2 max conditions
conditions on (K, ν) .

Why is it ν ?

Kaplansky's theory implies

$$K(G) \hookrightarrow (K, \nu) \hookrightarrow (K(G), \nu_{\min})$$

here $\nu := \nu_K \circ \nu$ $G := \nu_K$

$K(G)$:= the field of generalised
formal power series with
coefficients in K and exponents
in G

$$:= \left\{ a = \sum_{g \in G} a_g t^g ; \text{ support } a \subseteq G \right. \\ \left. \text{is a well ordered } \right\}$$

$$\text{support } a := \{ g \in G \mid a_g \neq 0 \}$$

$$\nu_{\min}(a) := \min \text{ support of } a.$$

$$a \in K^X$$

$$K(G) := \text{ff}(K[G])$$

$$\text{Hahn field} : K(G) \subseteq K \subseteq K(G)$$

Characterize σ -Aut K in terms Aut k and O -Aut G

Observation 1:

$\forall \sigma \in \text{Aut}(K)$ is val. preserving \Rightarrow

$$\sigma_G : v(a) \longmapsto v(\sigma(a)) \quad \forall a \in K^*$$

$$\sigma_k : av \longmapsto \sigma(a)v \quad \forall a \in R_K$$

$\sigma_G \in O\text{-Aut } G$ and

$\sigma_k \in \text{Aut } k$.

Note: R_K where $1_K = k(G)$

$$R_{1_K} := k(G \geq 0)$$

$a \in R_{1_K} : c(a) := \text{constant term of } a$

$$av = c(a) \quad !$$

(1) The Φ -map:

$$\Phi : \sigma\text{-Aut } K \longrightarrow \text{Aut } k \times O\text{-Aut } G$$

$$\sigma \longmapsto (\sigma_k, \sigma_G)$$

Φ is a group hom.

$\ker \Phi := \text{int Aut}(K) = \{ \sigma \in \sigma\text{-Aut } K; \\ \forall a \in K : v(a) = v(\sigma(a)) \text{ and } \forall a \in R_K : c(a) = c(\sigma(a)) \}$

1st lifting property on K :

Φ has a right section, i.e.

$$\Psi: \text{Aut } k \times \text{D-Aut } G \longrightarrow \text{v-Aut } K$$

$$\Psi(\tau, \sigma) = \phi$$

s.t

$$\Phi \circ \Psi = \text{Id}_{\text{Aut } k \times \text{D-Aut } G}.$$

Example: Canonical LFP on K

$(\tau, \sigma) \longmapsto \phi$ where

$$\phi\left(\sum a_g t^g\right) := \sum \tau(a_g) t^{\sigma(g)} \quad (*)$$

For any $k(G) \leq K \leq K$ which is invariant under $(*)$ also has the CLP 1.

$\text{Im } \Psi := \text{Ext Aut } K$

First decomposition:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{v-Aut } K &= \text{Int Aut } K \rtimes \text{Ext Aut } K \\ &\cong \underbrace{\text{Int Aut } K}_{\text{green}} \rtimes (\text{Aut } k \times \text{D-Aut } G) \end{aligned}$$

(2) \mathcal{E} -map:

$$\mathcal{E}: \text{Int Aut } K \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(G, K^\times)$$

$$\sigma \longmapsto \left\{ g \longmapsto c \left(\frac{\sigma(t^g)}{t^g} \right) \right.$$

ker $\varepsilon := 1 - \text{Aut } K =$

$$\left\{ \sigma \in \text{Int Aut } K; \forall a \in K: \sigma(a) = N(\sigma a) \right.$$

and leading coeff of $a =$ leading
coeff of $\sigma(a)$ }

2nd assumption on K :

ε has a right section i.e.

$$\rho: \text{Hom}(G, k^\times) \longrightarrow \text{Int Aut } K$$

$$\text{s.t. } \varepsilon \circ \rho = \text{Id}_{\text{Hom}(G, k^\times)}$$

$\neq f$ K satisfies 2nd LP, LP 2.

Example: $\chi \in \text{Hom}(G, k^\times)$ define

$\sigma_\chi \in \text{Int Aut } K$:

$$\sigma_\chi \left(\sum a_g t^g \right) := \sum a_g \chi(g) t^g \quad (**)$$

CLP 2

$$\text{Im } \rho = G\text{-exp } K$$

2nd decomposition: $\text{Int Aut } K = 1\text{-Aut } K \rtimes$

all together

$$1\text{-Aut } K \cong (1\text{-Aut } K) \rtimes \text{Hom}(G, k^\times) \rtimes (G\text{-Exp } K)$$

(3) The ε_1 -map

$$\varepsilon_1: \underbrace{1\text{-Aut } K}_{\text{comp.}} \longrightarrow \underbrace{\text{Hom}(G, 1+I_K)}_{\text{ptwise mult. of char}}$$

$$\sigma \longmapsto \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \sigma \longmapsto \frac{\sigma(t^g)}{t^g} \end{array} \right.$$

Warning: ε_1 is NOT a group homomorphism!

Idea! If ε_1 would be injective, we could "copy" the composition group law from $1\text{-Aut } K$ onto its bijective copy $\text{Im } \varepsilon_1 \subseteq \text{Hom}(G, 1+I_K)$!

(4) Consider the subgroup

$$1\text{-Aut}^+ K \leq 1\text{-Aut } K$$

$$1\text{-Aut}^+ K := \left\{ \sigma \in 1\text{-Aut } K; \sigma \text{ is string additive} \right\}$$

$$\sigma \text{ str. add: } \sigma\left(\sum a_s t^s\right) = \sum a_s \sigma(t^s)$$

Observation 2: $\varepsilon_1 \uparrow \mathcal{N}\text{-Aut}^+ K$ is injective \uparrow

Image: $\varepsilon_1 (\mathcal{N}\text{-Aut}^+ K) = \text{Hom}^+(G, 1 + I_K)$

so then

$$\mathcal{N}\text{-Aut}^+ K \cong \text{Hom}^+(G, 1 + I_K)$$

with endow

schelling op. law

\times_S

$$u_1 \times_S u_2 (g) :=$$

$$u_1, u_2 \in \text{Hom}^+(G, 1 + I_K), g \in G$$

$$\rightarrow u_1(g) \circ_1 (u_2(g))$$

where $\varepsilon_1(\delta_1) = u_1$

$$\mathcal{N}\text{-Aut}^+ K \cong \text{Hom}^+(G, 1 + I_K) \times \text{Hom}(G, K^*) \times (\text{Aut} K \times \mathcal{O}\text{-Aut} G) !$$