

DISTINGUISHED HAHN FIELDS

SALMA KUHLMANN

WORK IN PROGRESS WITH S. KRAPP AND M. SERRA

Séminaire DDG (Structures algébriques ordonnées)
22.3.2022, 14:00–15:45

CONTENTS

I. Introduction	1
II. The first lifting property	2
III. The second lifting property	3
IV. 1-Aut K	4
References	4

I. INTRODUCTION

1. Let (K, v) be a valued field. The aim is to study the group $v\text{-Aut}(K, v)$ of valuation preserving automorphisms of (K, v) : $\sigma \in \text{Aut } K$ is valuation preserving if $\forall a, b \in K : v(a) = v(b) \Rightarrow v(\sigma(a)) = v(\sigma(b))$.
2. We shall always assume that K admits both a residue field and a value group section: $Kv \xrightarrow{i} K$ and $G := v(K^\times) \hookrightarrow (K^\times, \cdot)$. Set $k := i(Kv)$.
 - Under these (and other) conditions, a theorem of Kaplansky gives

$$(K, v) \xrightarrow{t} (k((G)), v_{\min})$$

such that $k(G) \subseteq \iota(K) \subseteq k((G))$ where $k(G) := \text{ff}(k[G])$ is the *minimal Hahn field*.

3. (a) So we study $v\text{-Aut } K$ for a Hahn field $k(G) \subseteq K \subseteq k((G))$ and, as we shall see, the best decomposition result for $v\text{-Aut } K$ is under further assumptions on K , the lifting properties, which are the topic of this talk. Let us call a Hahn field satisfying those lifting properties a *distinguished Hahn field*.
 - (b) To achieve our aim we will then, in the spirit of Mourgues and Ressayre, study necessary and sufficient conditions on a valued field (K, v) (with $Kv = k$ and $v(K) \simeq G$) to embed in $k((G))$ as a distinguished Hahn field.
 - (c) Finally, a further project would be to understand how $v\text{-Aut } K$ varies with v (in particular coarsenings, independent valuations, henselian valuations etc.). In particular $v\text{-Aut}_k K \leq \text{Gal}(K/k)$.

II. THE FIRST LIFTING PROPERTY

1. In [KMP17] we **characterise valuation preserving automorphisms** by the conditions

$$\begin{cases} \text{the map } \sigma_G: v(a) \mapsto v(\sigma(a)) \text{ for } a \in K \text{ is in } \mathcal{o}\text{-Aut } G; \\ \text{the map } \sigma_v: av \mapsto \sigma(a)v \text{ for } a \in R_K \text{ is in } \text{Aut } k. \end{cases}$$

where we denote by R_K the valuation ring of K and by I_K the valuation ideal.

2. **Identification of Kv with k .** Let c denote the canonical identification: for $a \in k((G^{\geq 0}))$ let $c(a)$ be the constant term of a and set $f_c: Kv \rightarrow k$ the corresponding isomorphism. Note that other isomorphisms $f: Kv \rightarrow k$ are of the form $f_\tau = \tau \circ f_c$ for $\tau \in \text{Aut } k$. So, without loss of generality, we shall work with f_c and call it the *canonical identification of Kv with k* .

3. **The Φ -map.** The map

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi = \Phi_c: \quad v\text{-Aut } K &\rightarrow \text{Aut } k \times \mathcal{o}\text{-Aut } G \\ \sigma &\mapsto (\sigma_k, \sigma_G) \end{aligned}$$

is a group homomorphism with

$$\ker \Phi =: \text{Int Aut } K$$

$$= \{ \sigma \in \text{Aut } K \mid \forall a \in K : v(a) = v(\sigma(a)) \text{ and } \forall a \in R_K : c(a) = c(\sigma(a)) \}.$$

Definition II.1. K has the *first lifting property (1LP)* if Φ admits a section, i.e., a group homomorphism

$$\Psi: \text{Aut } k \times \mathcal{o}\text{-Aut } G \rightarrow v\text{-Aut } K$$

such that $\Phi \circ \Psi = \text{id}_{\text{Aut } k \times \mathcal{o}\text{-Aut } G}$.

Example II.1. $k((G))$ admits the *canonical 1LP*. Indeed

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_c: \quad \text{Aut } k \times \mathcal{o}\text{-Aut } G &\rightarrow v\text{-Aut } k((G)) \\ (\tau, \gamma) &\mapsto \sigma: \sigma(\sum a_g t^g) = \sum \tau(a_g) t^{\gamma(g)} \quad (*) \end{aligned}$$

is a section of Φ .

Definition II.2. A Hahn field *admits the canonical 1LP* if it is stable under $(*)$.

Example II.2. • Let $K = k((\mathcal{F}))$ be the k -Hull of a field family. Then K admits the canonical 1LP if and only if \mathcal{F} is stable under $\mathcal{o}\text{-Aut } G$.

- $k(G)$ is not a k -Hull, so not a Rayner field ($\exp(t^g) \notin k(G)$, for $\text{char } k = 0$) but has the canonical 1LP.

Example II.3. Let $\mathcal{F}_0 = \{\text{finite subsets of } G\} \cup \{S\}$ where $G = \coprod_{-\mathbb{N}} \mathbb{Q}$ and $S = \{\mathbb{1}_{-n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Let $\mathcal{F} = \overline{\mathcal{F}_0}$ be the Rayner closure of \mathcal{F}_0 . Then \mathcal{F} is not stable under $\gamma \in \mathcal{o}\text{-Aut } G$ defined by $\gamma(g) = \frac{1}{2}g$ and so $k((\mathcal{F}))$ does not have the canonical 1LP. \square

Question. Does $k((\mathcal{F}))$ from Example II.3 admit other 1LPs? That is, is there still a (different) section of Φ_c ? We understand that if Ψ is a right section, then all conjugates of Ψ by elements of $\text{Int Aut } K$ are also right sections. Yet this does not answer the questions, as there might still be sections in different conjugacy classes.

4. **Definition II.3.** $\text{im } \Psi =: \text{Ext}_\Psi \text{Aut } K$.
 5. **Facts**

$\text{Int Aut } K \trianglelefteq v\text{-Aut } K$, $\text{Ext}_\Psi \text{Aut } K \simeq \text{Aut } k \times o\text{-Aut } G$ and $v\text{-Aut } K \simeq \text{Int Aut } K \rtimes \text{Ext}_\Psi \text{Aut } K$. So we have the **first decomposition Theorem**:

$$v\text{-Aut } K \simeq \text{Int Aut } K \rtimes (\text{Aut } k \times o\text{-Aut } G) \quad (\text{II.1})$$

The group $o\text{-Aut } G$ is subject to a total analysis in another paper.

6. Our next task is to analyse $\text{Int Aut } K$.

III. THE SECOND LIFTING PROPERTY

Consider the map

$$X := X_c: \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \text{Int Aut } K & \rightarrow & \text{Hom}(G, k^\times)^1 \\ \sigma & \mapsto & x_\sigma: g \mapsto c(t^{-g}\sigma(t^g)) \end{array}$$

Then X is a well defined group homomorphism. We define:

$$\begin{aligned} 1\text{-Aut } K &:= \ker X \\ &= \{ \sigma \mid \forall a \in K: v(a) = v(\sigma(a)), a_{v(a)} = \sigma(a)_{v(a)} \} \end{aligned}$$

Definition III.1. K has the *second lifting property* if X admits a right section, i.e., a group homomorphism $P: \text{Hom}(G, k^\times) \rightarrow \text{Int Aut } K$ such that $X \circ P = \text{id}_{\text{Hom}(G, k^\times)}$.

Example III.1. $k((G))$ has the canonical second lifting property: for $x \in \text{Hom}(G, k^\times)$ define $\sigma_x \in v\text{-Aut } k((G))$ by $\sigma_x(\sum a_g t^g) = \sum a_g x(g) t^g$ (**). Then $\sigma_x \in \text{Int Aut } k((G))$.

Definition III.2. K has the canonical 2LP if it is stable under (**).

Example III.2. All k -hulls have the canonical 2LP. And so does $k(G)$.

Definition III.3. Define $G_P\text{-Exp } K := \text{im } P$.

Facts: $1\text{-Aut } K \trianglelefteq \text{Int Aut } K$; $G_P\text{-Exp } K \simeq \text{Hom}(G, k^\times)$ and $\text{Int Aut } K = 1\text{-Aut } K \rtimes G_P\text{-Exp } K$ which yield the **second decomposition Theorem**:

$$\text{Int Aut } K \simeq 1\text{-Aut } K \rtimes \text{Hom}(G, k^\times). \quad (\text{III.1})$$

All together, for a distinguishes Hahn field K we have

$$v\text{-Aut } K \simeq (1\text{-Aut } K \rtimes \text{Hom}(G, k^\times)) \rtimes (\text{Aut } k \times o\text{-Aut } G). \quad (\text{III.2})$$

All in terms of the valuation invariants k and G except for the first factor $1\text{-Aut } K$ which we now finally attack in the last part of this talk.

¹Seen as an abelian group under pointwise multiplication of characters.

IV. 1-Aut K

1. We would want to analyse 1-Aut K with the help of an appropriate map, as we did for v -Aut K and Int Aut K .
2. There is indeed a map:

$$\begin{aligned} X_1: \quad 1\text{-Aut } K &\rightarrow \text{Hom}(G, 1 + I_K)^2 \\ \sigma &\mapsto (g \mapsto t^{-g}\sigma(t^g)) \end{aligned}$$

However, X_1 is not a group homomorphism.

3. The idea is now: if X_1 would be injective, we could “copy” the group operation from 1-Aut K onto its isomorphic copy $\text{im } X_1 \subseteq \text{Hom}(G, 1 + I_K)$.
4. There is a privileged subgroup $1\text{-Aut}^+ K \leq 1\text{-Aut } K$ of strongly additive 1-automorphisms for which $X_1|_{1\text{-Aut}^+ K}$ is indeed injective [since a strongly additive map is completely determined by its value on the terms, in particular, $\sigma \in 1\text{-Aut}^+ K$ is determined by $\sigma(t^g)$ for $g \in G$].
5. Define therefore $\text{Hom}^+(G, 1 + I_K) := X_1(1\text{-Aut}^+ K)$.

Definition IV.1. (i) $x \in \text{Hom}(G, 1 + I_K)$ is *K-summable* if, for all $a = \sum a_g t^g \in K$ we have $\sum a_g x(g) t^g \in K$ (***)
(ii) $\text{Hom}^+(G, 1 + I_K)$ is endowed with the multiplication (defined by Schilling [Sch44] for the case of $K = \mathbb{L} = k(\mathbb{Z})$)

$$(u_1 \times_S u_2)(g) = u_1(g) \cdot \sigma_1(u_2(g))$$

for all $g \in G$, $u_1, u_2 \in \text{Hom}^+(G, 1 + I_K)$ and σ_1 is determined by $X_1(\sigma_1) = u_1$.

All together we get the following decomposition in terms of the valuation invariants

$$v\text{-Aut}^+ K \simeq ((\text{Hom}^+(G, 1 + I_K), \times_S) \rtimes \text{Hom}(G, k^\times)) \rtimes (\text{Aut } k \times o\text{-Aut } G). \quad (\text{IV.1})$$

REFERENCES

- [KMP17] S. Kuhlmann, M. Matusinski, and F. Point. “The valuation difference rank of a quasi-ordered difference field”. In: *Groups, modules, and model theory – surveys and recent developments* (2017), pp. 399–414.
- [Sch44] O. F. G. Schilling. “Automorphisms of fields of formal power series”. In: *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 50.12 (1944), pp. 892–901.

²Again with pointwise multiplication of characters.