



Übungen zur Vorlesung Reelle algebraische Geometrie

Blatt 3 - Lösung.

Theorem 0.1 (Puiseux theorem) *The set \mathcal{P} is a real closed field.*

1. Firstly, we show that \mathcal{K} is a field.

Let $A(X) = \sum_{i=m}^{\infty} a_i X^i$ and $B(X) = \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} b_i X^i$ be two elements of \mathcal{K} , with for instance $m \leq n$. We have:

- stability by addition: $A(X) + B(X) = \sum_{i=m}^n a_i X^i + \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} (a_i + b_i) X^i$ is an element of \mathcal{K} ;

- the addition is associative and commutative: this follows directly from the preceding formula and the commutativity and associativity of the coefficients that are real numbers;

- the neutral element is 0: we have $0 + A(X) = A(X) + 0 = A(X)$ in \mathcal{K} ;

- existence of an additive inverse: the element $-A(X) = \sum_{i=m}^{\infty} -a_i X^i$ is the inverse of $A(X)$ in \mathcal{K} ;

- stability by multiplication: note that for any $i \geq m + n$, the number of couples of integers (j, k) such that $j \geq m, k \geq n$ and $j + k = i$, is finite. Then $A(X) \cdot B(X) = \sum_{i=m+n}^{\infty} \sum_{j+k=i} a_j b_k X^i$ is well defined and is an element of \mathcal{K}^* ;

- the multiplication is associative and commutative: this follows directly from the preceding formula and the commutativity and associativity of the coefficients that are real numbers;

- the neutral element is 1: we have $1 \cdot A(X) = A(X) \cdot 1 = A(X)$ in \mathcal{K}^* ;

- existence of the multiplicative inverse: suppose $A(X) \neq 0$. Factorizing by the term with lowest degree $a_m X^m$, we get $A(X) = a_m X^m (1 + U(X))$ where $U(X) \in \mathbb{R}[[X]]$ such that $U(0) = 0$. Then we define

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{A(X)} &:= a_m^{-1} X^{-m} \frac{1}{1 + U(X)} \\ &= a_m^{-1} X^{-m} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k U(X)^k \text{ by Euler's formula} \end{aligned}$$

Since $U(0) = 0$, we can factor X in $U(X)$. So for any k , $U(X)^k$ has **order (= least exponent)** at least k . So by a straightforward induction, one shows that only finitely many terms $U(X)^k$ give a contribution to a given power X^i . Therefore $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k U(X)^k = 1 - U(X) + U(X)^2 - \dots$ is well-defined and is an element of $\mathbb{R}[[X]]$;

- the set $T := \mathcal{K}_{\geq 0} = \left\{ A(X) = \sum_{i=m}^{\infty} a_i X^i \mid a_m \geq 0 \right\} \cup \{0\}$ is a positive cone: provided

$A(X), B(X) \in P$, we have

- $A(X) + B(X) = a_m X^m + \dots \in T$,
- $A(X).B(X) = a_m b_n X^{m+n} + \dots \in T$,
- for any $A(X) \in \mathcal{K}$, $A(X)^2 = a_m^2 X^{2m} + \dots \in T$.

So T is a preordering.

Moreover, $-1 \notin T$. So T is a proper preordering.

Finally, given any non zero $A(X) = \sum_{i=m}^{\infty} a_i X^i \in \mathcal{K}$, either $a_m > 0$ and so $A(X) \in T$, or $a_m < 0$ and so $-A(X) \in T$. Thus T is an ordering in \mathcal{K} .

2. Let $A(X) = \sum_{i=m}^{\infty} a_i X^{i/N_1}$ and $B(X) = \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} b_i X^{i/N_2}$ be two Puiseux series. Writing $i/N_1 = iN_2/(N_1N_2)$ and $i/N_2 = iN_1/(N_1N_2)$, we rewrite $A(X)$ and $B(X)$ as series with exponents that have same denominator (N_1N_2) . Then, by the change of variable $X^{1/(N_1N_2)} = \xi$, we have $A(X) = \tilde{A}(\xi)$ and $B(X) = \tilde{B}(\xi)$ which are elements of \mathcal{K} (here the quotient field of $\mathbb{R}[[\xi]]$). Then the results of the preceding question apply, making \mathcal{P} into a field.

3. We consider a polynomial equation

$$(I) \quad P(X, Y) = A_0(X)Y^n + A_1(X)Y^{n-1} + \dots + A_{n-1}(X)Y + A_n(X) = 0$$

with coefficients in \mathcal{P} . We denote by N_i the denominator of the exponents in A_i , and $N := \text{lcm}(N_i, i = 0, \dots, n)$. We perform the change of variable $\tilde{X} := X^{1/N}$. A Puiseux series $Y(X) \in \mathcal{P}$ is a solution of (I) if and only if $\tilde{Y}(\tilde{X}) := Y(\tilde{X}^N) \in \mathcal{P}$ is a solution of

$$\begin{aligned} (II) \quad P(\tilde{X}^N, \tilde{Y}) &= A_0(\tilde{X}^N)\tilde{Y}^n + A_1(\tilde{X}^N)\tilde{Y}^{n-1} + \dots + A_{n-1}(\tilde{X}^N)\tilde{Y} + A_n(\tilde{X}^N) = 0 \\ \Leftrightarrow \tilde{P}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}) &= B_0(\tilde{X})\tilde{Y}^n + B_1(\tilde{X})\tilde{Y}^{n-1} + \dots + B_{n-1}(\tilde{X})\tilde{Y} + B_n(\tilde{X}) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

which has coefficients $B_i(\tilde{X})$ in \mathcal{K} .

Define m_i to be the order of B_i and

$$k := \max\{l \in \mathbb{Z} \mid nl + m_0 \leq (n-i)l + m_i, \forall i = 1, \dots, n\}.$$

Then putting $\tilde{Y} = \tilde{X}^k \hat{Y}$ and dividing by X^{nk+m_0} , we get that \tilde{Y} is solution of (II) in \mathcal{P} if and only if \hat{Y} is solution of

$$(III) \quad \hat{P}(\tilde{X}, \hat{Y}) = C_0(\tilde{X})\hat{Y}^n + C_1(\tilde{X})\hat{Y}^{n-1} + \dots + C_{n-1}(\tilde{X})\hat{Y} + C_n(\tilde{X}) = 0$$

with coefficients that are in $\mathbb{R}[[X]]$, in particular with $C_0(0) \neq 0 \Leftrightarrow C_0(\tilde{X}) = c_0 + U(X)$ with $U(0) = 0$.

Finally, divide this equation by $C_0(\tilde{X})$ and use the Euler formula as above to conclude that this equation (III) is equivalent to an equation

$$(IV) \quad Q(\tilde{X}, \hat{Y}) = \hat{Y}^n + D_1(\tilde{X})\hat{Y}^{n-1} + \dots + D_{n-1}(\tilde{X})\hat{Y} + D_n(\tilde{X}) = 0$$

defined by $Q(\tilde{X}, \hat{Y})$ which is a monic polynomial in \hat{Y} with coefficients $D_k(\tilde{X})$ in $\mathbb{R}[[\tilde{X}]]$.

4. Since $P(Y)$ and $Q(Y)$ are relatively prime, by the cited lemma, we have:

$$1 = A_0(Y)P(Y) + B_0(Y)Q(Y).$$

for some polynomials $A_0(Y)$ and $B_0(Y)$. Thus we have

$$F(Y) = F(Y)A_0(Y)P(Y) + F(Y)B_0(Y)Q(Y).$$

Then using the Euclidean division, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} F(Y)A_0(Y) &= C_1(Y)Q(Y) + A(Y) \\ F(Y)B_0(Y) &= C_2(Y)P(Y) + B(Y). \end{aligned}$$

where the degree of $A(Y)$, respectively $B(Y)$, is strictly less than $q = \deg Q(Y)$, respectively $p = \deg P(Y)$. Thus we have

$$F(Y) = [C_1(Y) + C_2(Y)]P(Y)Q(Y) + A(Y)P(Y) + B(Y)Q(Y).$$

Since $\deg(P(Y)Q(Y))$ is $p + q$, which is bigger than $\deg F(Y)$, then we must have $C_1(Y) + C_2(Y) = 0$.

5. Consider $C_1(X_1, \dots, X_n), \dots, C_p(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ and $D_1(X_1, \dots, X_n), \dots, D_q(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ as in the cited lemma. We notice that for all i, j , $C_i(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ and $D_j(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ are well defined, where $a_k = A_k(0)$ for all k . Set the n -tuple $A(X) = (A_1(X), \dots, A_n(X))$. Since for all k , $A_k(X) = a_k + U_k(X)$ with $U(0) = 0$, the expressions $C_i(A(X))$ and $D_j(A(X))$ are also well defined (using for instance multivariate Taylor expansion). Then we can define:

$$\begin{aligned} P(X, Y) &:= Y^p + C_1(A(X))Y^{p-1} + \dots + C_p(A_n(X)) \\ Q(X, Y) &:= Y^q + D_1(A(X))Y^{q-1} + \dots + D_q(A_n(X)). \end{aligned}$$

6. (a) Consider $A(X) = \sum_{i=m}^{\infty} a_i X^i \in \mathbb{R}[[X]]$ (thus $m \geq 0$) with $a_m > 0$, and the equation

$$Y^2 - A(X) = 0.$$

with solutions $Y(X) \in \mathcal{P}$. Applying the change of unknown $\tilde{Y} = \frac{Y}{X^{m/2}}$, we equivalently get an equation

$$F(X, \tilde{Y}) = \tilde{Y}^2 - (a_m + a_{m+1}X + \dots) = 0$$

for which $F(0, \tilde{Y}) = \tilde{Y}^2 - a_m = (\tilde{Y} - \sqrt{a_m})(\tilde{Y} + \sqrt{a_m})$ and the solutions $\tilde{Y}(X) \in \mathcal{P}$. By Hensel's lemma, there exist $P(X, \tilde{Y}) = \tilde{Y} - B_1(X)$ and $Q(X, \tilde{Y}) = \tilde{Y} - C_1(X)$ with $B_1(X), C_1(X) \in \mathbb{R}[[X]]$ such that $(\tilde{Y} - B_1(X))(\tilde{Y} - C_1(X)) = \tilde{Y}^2 - (a_m + a_{m+1}X + \dots)$. So $B_1(X) = -C_1(X)$ and $B_1(X)^2 = C_1(X)^2 = a_m + a_{m+1}X + \dots = \frac{A(X)}{X^m}$. Say for instance that $B_1(X) > 0$. Then $X^{1/2}B_1(X) = \sqrt{A(X)} \in \mathcal{P}$. Note: we have $B_1(X) = \sqrt{a_m} + U_1(X)$ with $U_1(0) = 0$.

(b) We proceed by induction on $p \in \mathbb{N}$ where $2p + 1 = n$.

For $p = 0 \Leftrightarrow n = 2p + 1 = 1$, we consider an equation $Y - A_1(X) = 0$ that has a unique solution $Y(X) = A_1(X) \in \mathcal{P}$.

For $p > 0 \Leftrightarrow n = 2p + 1 > 1$, we suppose that any polynomial equation over \mathcal{P} of odd degree less than or equal to $2p - 1$ has a root in \mathcal{P} . Then we consider a polynomial equation

$$(I) \quad F(X, Y) = Y^n + A_1(X)Y^{n-1} + \dots + A_n(X) = 0$$

of degree $n = 2p + 1$. We notice that $F(0, Y) = Y^n + a_{n-k}Y^k + \dots + a_{n-l}Y^l$ for eventually some $1 \leq k, l \leq n$ and some coefficients $a_i \in \mathbb{R}$. Since \mathbb{R} is real closed and $F(0, Y)$ has an odd degree, then $F(0, Y)$ has at least one real root, say α , that has some multiplicity r . There are two cases:

- either $r < n$, which means that $F(0, Y) = (Y - \alpha)^r Q_0(Y)$ with $(Y - \alpha)^r$ and $Q_0(Y)$ that are relatively primes. Then we apply Hensel's lemma and get that $F(X, Y) = P(X, Y)Q(X, Y)$ for some $P(X, Y), Q(X, Y)$ that are polynomials in Y with coefficients that are formal series in X . Since $\deg F(X, Y)$ is odd, then either $\deg P(X, Y)$ or $\deg Q(X, Y)$ is odd. Therefore we apply the induction hypothesis to the one with odd degree and we get a root in \mathcal{P} of $F(X, Y)$.

- or $r = n$ meaning that $F(0, Y) = (Y - \alpha)^n$. We perform the Tschirnhausen transform $Y(X) =: Y_1(X) - \frac{A_1(X)}{n}$ in the equation (I). After expansion, we equivalently get an equation polynomial in Y_1

$$(II) \quad F_1(X, Y_1) = Y_1^n + B_2(X)Y_1^{n-1} + \dots + B_n(X) = 0$$

which has coefficient $B_1(X) \equiv 0$.

Then we set $d := \min \left\{ \frac{\deg B_k(X)}{k} \mid k = 2, \dots, n \right\}$ and we perform in (II) the change of unknown $Y_1(X) =: X^d Y_2(X)$. After dividing by X^{nd} , we get an equation

$$(III) \quad F_2(X, Y_2) = Y_2^n + C_2(X)Y_2^{n-1} + \dots + C_n(X) = 0$$

such that $F_2(0, Y_2) = Y_2^n + c_2 Y_2^{n-1} + \dots + c_n = 0$ with some $c_k \neq 0$. Thus this equation splits into two relatively prime factors (it cannot be $(Y - \beta)^n$ since we have the coefficient $c_{n-1} = 0$). Then we are back to the preceding case.

7. Criterion (iii) of Artin-Schreier's theorem says that a field K is real closed if and only if it is real, it has no proper algebraic extension of odd degree and $K^* = (K^*)^2 \cup -(K^*)^2$. Equivalently, K is ordered, any polynomial equation of

odd degree with coefficients in K has a root in K , and any positive element in K has a square root (see Corollary 2 in the Lecture of the 03/11/09). That is what we prove in question 3 (for the ordering) and in question 6, thanks to the changes of variable described in question 3.