

**REAL ALGEBRAIC GEOMETRY LECTURE NOTES**  
(24: 21/01/10)

SALMA KUHLMANN

CONTENTS

1.	Hahn Sandwich Proposition	1
2.	Immediate extensions	1
3.	Valuation independence	2
4.	Maximal valuation independence	3
5.	Valuation basis	4

1. HAHN SANDWICH PROPOSITION

From now, let  $Z = Q$  be a field and  $(V, v)$  a valued  $Q$ -vector space with skeleton  $S(V) = [\Gamma, B(\gamma)]$ . We want to show

$$\left(\bigsqcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} B(\gamma), v_{\min}\right) \hookrightarrow (V, v) \hookrightarrow (\mathbf{H}_{\gamma \in \Gamma} B(\gamma), v_{\min}).$$

2. IMMEDIATE EXTENSIONS

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $(V_i, v_i)$  be valued  $Q$ -vector spaces ( $i = 1, 2$ ).

- (1) Let  $V_1 \subseteq V_2$   $Q$ -subspace with  $v_1(V_1) \subseteq v_2(V_2)$ . We say that  $(V_2, v_2)$  is an **extension** of  $(V_1, v_1)$ , and we write

$$(V_1, v_1) \subseteq (V_2, v_2),$$

if  $v_2|_{V_1} = v_1$ .

- (2) If  $(V_1, v_1) \subseteq (V_2, v_2)$ , for  $\gamma \in v_1(V_1)$  the map

$$\begin{aligned} B_1(\gamma) &\longrightarrow B_2(\gamma) \\ x + (V_1)_\gamma &\mapsto x + (V_2)_\gamma \end{aligned}$$

is a natural identification of  $B_1(\gamma)$  as a  $Q$ -subspace of  $B_2(\gamma)$ . The extension  $(V_1, v_1) \subseteq (V_2, v_2)$  is **immediate** if  $\Gamma := v_1(V_1) = v_2(V_2)$  and  $\forall \gamma \in v_1(V_1)$

$$B_1(\gamma) = B_2(\gamma).$$

Equivalently,  $(V_1, v_1) \subseteq (V_2, v_2)$  is immediate if  $S(V_1, v_1) = S(V_2, v_2)$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** (*Characterization of immediate extensions*)

The extension  $(V_1, v_1) \subseteq (V_2, v_2)$  is immediate if and only if

$$\forall x \in V_2, x \neq 0, \exists y \in V_1 \text{ such that } v_2(x - y) > v_2(x).$$

*Proof.* We show that in a valued  $Q$ -vector space  $(V, v)$ , for every  $x, y \in V$

$$v(x - y) > v(x) \iff \begin{cases} (i) & \gamma = v(x) = v(y) \text{ and} \\ (ii) & \pi(\gamma, x) = \pi(\gamma, y). \end{cases}$$

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Assume (i) and (ii). So  $x, y \in V^\gamma$  and  $x - y \in V^\gamma$ .

$$\text{Then } v(x - y) > v(x) = \gamma.$$

( $\Rightarrow$ ) Assume  $v(x - y) > v(x)$ . We show (i) and (ii).

If  $v(x) \neq v(y)$ , then  $v(x - y) = \min\{v(x), v(y)\}$ . In both cases  $\min\{v(x), v(y)\} = v(x)$  and  $\min\{v(x), v(y)\} = v(y)$  we have a contradiction. (ii) is analogue. □

**Example 2.3.**  $(\bigsqcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} B(\gamma), v_{\min}) \subseteq (H_{\gamma \in \Gamma} B(\gamma), v_{\min})$

is an immediate extension.

*Proof.* Given  $x \in H_{\gamma \in \Gamma} B(\gamma)$ ,  $x \neq 0$ , set

$$\gamma_0 := \min \text{support}(x) \quad \text{and} \quad x(\gamma_0) := b_0 \in B(\gamma_0).$$

Let  $y \in \bigsqcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} B(\gamma)$  such that

$$y(\gamma) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \gamma \neq \gamma_0 \\ b_0 & \text{if } \gamma = \gamma_0. \end{cases}$$

Namely  $y = b_0 \chi_{\gamma_0}$ , where

$$\chi_{\gamma_0}: \Gamma \longrightarrow Q$$

$$\chi_{\gamma_0}(\gamma) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \gamma = \gamma_0 \\ 0 & \text{if } \gamma \neq \gamma_0. \end{cases}$$

Then  $v_{\min}(x - y) > \gamma_0 = v_{\min}(x)$  (because  $(x - y)(\gamma_0) = x(\gamma_0) - y(\gamma_0) = b_0 - b_0 = 0$ ). □

### 3. VALUATION INDEPENDENCE

**Definition 3.1.**  $\mathcal{B} = \{x_i : i \in I\} \subseteq V \setminus \{0\}$  is  **$Q$ -valuation independent** if for  $q_i \in Q$  with  $q_i = 0$  for all but finitely many  $i \in I$ , we have

$$v\left(\sum_{i \in I} q_i x_i\right) = \min_{i \in I, q_i \neq 0} \{v(x_i)\}.$$

**Remark 3.2.**  $\mathcal{B} \subseteq V \setminus \{0\}$   $Q$ -valuation independent  $\Rightarrow$   $Q$ -linear independent.

(Otherwise  $\exists q_i \neq 0$  with  $\sum q_i x_i = 0$  and  $v(\sum q_i x_i) = \infty$ ).

**Proposition 3.3.** (*Characterization of valuation independence*)

Let  $\mathcal{B} \subseteq V \setminus \{0\}$ . Then  $\mathcal{B}$  is  $Q$ -valuation independent if and only if  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \forall b_1, \dots, b_n \in \mathcal{B}$  pairwise distinct with  $v(b_1) = \dots = v(b_n) = \gamma$ , the coefficients

$$\pi(\gamma, b_1), \dots, \pi(\gamma, b_n) \in B(\gamma)$$

are  $Q$ -linear independent in  $B(\gamma)$ .

*Proof.*

( $\Rightarrow$ ) Let  $b_1, \dots, b_n \in \mathcal{B}$  with  $v(b_1) = \dots = v(b_n) = \gamma$  and suppose for a contradiction that

$$\pi(\gamma, b_1), \dots, \pi(\gamma, b_n) \in B(\gamma)$$

are not  $Q$ -linear independent. So there are  $q_1, \dots, q_n \in Q$  non-zero such that  $\pi(\gamma, \sum q_i b_i) = 0$  and  $v(\sum q_i b_i) > \gamma$ , contradiction.

( $\Leftarrow$ ) We show that

$$v(\sum q_i b_i) = \min\{v(b_i)\} = \gamma.$$

Since  $\pi(\gamma, b_1), \dots, \pi(\gamma, b_n)$  are  $Q$ -linear independent in  $B(\gamma)$ , also

$$\pi(\gamma, \sum_{i=1}^n q_i b_i) \neq 0,$$

i.e.  $v(\sum q_i b_i) \leq \gamma$ .

On the other hand  $v(\sum q_i b_i) \geq \gamma$ , so  $v(\sum q_i b_i) = \gamma = \min\{v(b_i)\}$ .  $\square$

#### 4. MAXIMAL VALUATION INDEPENDENCE

By Zorn's lemma, maximal valuation independent sets exist:

**Corollary 4.1.** (*Characterization of maximal valuation independent sets*)

$\mathcal{B} \subseteq V \setminus \{0\}$  is maximal valuation independent if and only if  $\forall \gamma \in v(V)$

$$\mathcal{B}_\gamma := \{\pi(\gamma, b) : b \in \mathcal{B}; v(b) = \gamma\}$$

is a  $Q$ -vector space basis of  $B(V, \gamma)$ .

**Corollary 4.2.** Let  $\mathcal{B} \subseteq V \setminus \{0\}$  be valuation independent in  $(V, v)$ . Then  $\mathcal{B}$  is maximal valuation independent if and only if the extension

$$\langle \mathcal{B} \rangle := (V_0, v|_{V_0}) \subseteq (V, v)$$

is an immediate extension.

*Proof.*

( $\Rightarrow$ ) Assume  $\mathcal{B} \subseteq V$  is maximal valuation independent. We show  $V_0 \subseteq V$  is immediate.

If not  $\exists x \in V, x \neq 0$  such that

$$\forall y \in V_0 : v(x - y) \leq v(x).$$

We will show that in this case  $\mathcal{B} \cup \{x\}$  is valuation independent (which will contradict our maximality assumption).

Consider  $v(y_0 + qx), q \in Q, q \neq 0, y_0 \in V_0$ . Set

$$y := -y_0/q.$$

We claim that  $v(y_0 + qx) = v(x - y) = \min\{v(x), v(y)\}$

**Fact.**

$$v(x - y) \leq v(x) \iff v(x - y) = \min\{v(x), v(y)\}.$$

*Proof of the fact.* ( $\Leftarrow$ ) is clear. To see ( $\Rightarrow$ ), assume that  $v(x - y) > \min\{v(x), v(y)\}$ . If  $\min\{v(x), v(y)\} = v(x)$ , then we have a contradiction. If  $\min\{v(x), v(y)\} = v(y) < v(x)$ , then  $v(x - y) = v(y) > v(y)$ , again a contradiction.

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Now assume  $(V_0, v) \subseteq (V, v)$  is immediate. We show that  $\mathcal{B}$  is maximal valuation independent.

If not, there is  $\gamma \in v(V)$  such that  $B_\gamma$  is not a basis for  $B(V, \gamma)$ .

Let  $b \in B(V, \gamma), b \notin \langle \mathcal{B}_\gamma \rangle$ .

$$b \in V^\gamma/V_\gamma \implies b = x + V_\gamma,$$

with  $x \in V, v(x) = \gamma$ .

**Claim:**  $\forall y \in V_0, v(x - y) \leq v(x)$  (contradicting that the extension is immediate). This follows by Characterization of immediate extensions (Lemma 2.2). □

## 5. VALUATION BASIS

**Definition 5.1.**  $\mathcal{B}$  is a  $Q$ -valuation basis of  $(V, v)$  if

- (1)  $\mathcal{B}$  is a  $Q$ -basis,
- (2)  $\mathcal{B}$  is  $Q$ -valuation independent.

**Remark 5.2.**  $\mathcal{B}$   $Q$ -valuation basis  $\implies \mathcal{B}$  is maximal valuation independent.

**Example 5.3.**  $(\bigsqcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} B(\gamma), v_{\min})$  admits a valuation basis.

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{B}_\gamma$  be a  $Q$ -basis of  $B(\gamma)$  for  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  and consider

$$\mathcal{B} := \bigcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \{b\chi_{\{\gamma\}}; b \in \mathcal{B}_\gamma\},$$

where  $\forall \gamma \in \Gamma$

$$\chi_\gamma : \Gamma \longrightarrow Q$$

$$\chi_\gamma(\gamma') = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \gamma = \gamma' \\ 0 & \text{if } \gamma \neq \gamma'. \end{cases}$$

□

**Corollary 5.4.**  $(V, v)$  with skeleton  $S(V) = [\Gamma, B(\gamma)]$  admits a valuation basis if and only if

$$(V, v) \cong \left( \bigsqcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} B(\gamma), v_{\min} \right).$$

*Proof.*

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Clear.

( $\Rightarrow$ ) Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a valuation basis for  $(V, v)$ . Then  $\mathcal{B} = \{b_i : i \in I\}$  is maximal valuation independent. For every  $b_i \in \mathcal{B}$ ,  $v(b_i) = \gamma$ , define

$$h(b_i) = \pi(\gamma, b_i)\chi_\gamma$$

and extend it to  $V$  by linearity (note that  $v(b_i) = v_{\min}(h(b_i))$ ).

□

**Corollary 5.5.** Assume  $S(V) = [\Gamma, B(\gamma)]$ . Then

$$\left( \bigsqcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} B(\gamma), v_{\min} \right) \hookrightarrow (V, v).$$

*Proof.* By Zorn's lemma, let  $\mathcal{B} \subset V \setminus \{0\}$  be maximal valuation independent. Set

$$V_0 := \mathcal{Q}\langle \mathcal{B} \rangle.$$

Then  $\mathcal{B}$  is a valuation basis for  $V_0$  and  $V_0 \subseteq V$  (immediate), so  $S(V_0) = S(V) = [\Gamma, B(\gamma)]$  and

$$(V_0, v) \cong \left( \bigsqcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} B(\gamma), v_{\min} \right).$$

□