

REAL ALGEBRAIC GEOMETRY LECTURE NOTES
(06: 05/11/09 - BEARBEITET 14/11/2018)

SALMA KUHLMANN

CONTENTS

1.	Counting roots in an interval	1
2.	Bounding the roots	2
3.	Changes of sign	4

Let R be a real closed field (for all this lecture).

1. COUNTING ROOTS IN AN INTERVAL

Definition 1.1. Let $f(x) \in R[x]$, $a \in R$,

$$f(x) = (x - a)^m h(x)$$

with $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $m \geq 1$ and $h(a) \neq 0$ (i.e. $(x - a)$ is not a factor of $h(x)$).

We say that m is the **multiplicity** (*Vielfachheit*) of f at a .

Corollary 1.2. (*Generalized Intermediate Value Theorem: Verstärkung Zwischenwertsatz*). Let $f(x) \in R[x]$; $a, b \in R$, $a < b$, $f(a)f(b) < 0$ (i.e. $f(a) < 0 < f(b)$ or $f(b) < 0 < f(a)$). Then the number of roots of $f(x)$ counting multiplicities in the interval $]a, b[\subseteq R$ is odd (in particular, f has a root in $]a, b[$).

Proof. By Corollary 3.1 of 5th lecture (3/11/09), we can write

$$f(x) = \prod_{i=1}^n (x - c_i)^{m_i} g(x)$$

with $g(x) = dq(x)$, where $d \in R$ is the leading coefficient of $f(x)$ and $q(x)$ is the product of the irreducible quadratic factors of $f(x)$.

Note that $g(x)$ has constant sign on R (i.e. $g(r) > 0 \forall r \in R$ or $g(r) < 0 \forall r \in R$). Without loss of generality, we can suppose $d = 1$ (and so $g(x)$ is positive everywhere).

Set $\forall i = 1, \dots, n$

$$\begin{cases} L_i(x) := (x - c_i)^{m_i} \\ l_i(x) := x - c_i. \end{cases}$$

If $l_i(x)$ changes sign in $]a, b[$ we must have $l_i(a) < 0 < l_i(b)$. Note that $L_i(x)$ changes sign in $]a, b[$ if and only if $l_i(x)$ does and m_i is odd.

In particular if $L_i(x)$ changes sign we must have $L_i(a) < 0 < L_i(b)$ as well.

Let us count the number of distinct $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ for which $L_i(a) < 0 < L_i(b)$. We claim that this number must be odd. If not, we get an even number of i such that $L_i(a)L_i(b) < 0$, so their product would be positive, in contradiction with the fact that $f(a)f(b) < 0$.

Set

$$|\{i \in \{1, \dots, n\} : L_i(a) < 0 < L_i(b)\}| = M \geq 1 \quad \text{odd.}$$

Say these are L_1, \dots, L_M . So the total number of roots of f in $]a, b[$ (counting multiplicity) is

$$\sum := m_1 + \dots + m_M.$$

Since m_i is odd $\forall i = 1, \dots, M$ and M is odd, it follows that \sum is odd as well. □

2. BOUNDING THE ROOTS

Corollary 2.1. *Let $f(x) \in R[x]$, $f(x) = dx^m + d_{m-1}x^{m-1} + \dots + d_0$, $d \neq 0$. Set*

$$D := 1 + \sum_{i=m-1}^0 \left| \frac{d_i}{d} \right| \in R.$$

Then

(i) $a \in R$, $f(a) = 0 \Rightarrow |a| < D$;
(i.e. f has no root in $] -\infty, -D] \cup [D, +\infty[$)

(ii) $y \in [D, +\infty[\Rightarrow \text{sign}(f(y)) = \text{sign}(d)$;

(iii) $y \in] -\infty, -D[\Rightarrow \text{sign}(f(y)) = (-1)^m \text{sign}(d)$.

Proof. Wlog assume $\exists i$ such that $d_i \neq 0$.

(i) For every $i = 0, \dots, m-1$ set $b_i := \frac{d_i}{d}$ and compute for $|y| \geq D$:

$$f(y) = dy^m(1 + b_{m-1}y^{-1} + \dots + b_0y^{-m}).$$

Now

$$|b_{m-1}y^{-1} + \dots + b_0y^{-m}| \leq (|b_{m-1}| + \dots + |b_0|)D^{-1} < 1.$$

(ii) If $y \geq D$ then $f(y) = d \prod (y - a_i)^{m_i} q(y)$ where $\deg(q)$ is even and $y - a_i > 0$.

(iii) If $y \leq -D$ then $(y - a_i)^{m_i} < 0$ if and only if m_i is odd. Moreover m is odd if and only if $\sum m_i$ is odd. □

Corollary 2.2. *(Rolle's Satz) Let $f(x) \in R[x]$, $a < b \in R$ such that $f(a) = f(b)$. Then there is $c \in R$, $a < c < b$ such that $f'(c) = 0$.*

Proof. We can suppose $f(a) = f(b) = 0$ (otherwise if $f(a) = f(b) = k \neq 0$, we can consider the polynomial $(f - k)(x)$).

We can also assume that $f(x)$ has no root in $]a, b[$. So

$$f(x) = (x - a)^m(x - b)^n g(x),$$

where $g(x)$ has no root in $[a, b]$, and by Corollary 1.2 (IVT) $g(x)$ has constant sign in $[a, b]$. Compute

$$f'(x) = (x - a)^{m-1}(x - b)^{n-1} g_1(x),$$

where

$$g_1(x) := m(x - b)g(x) + n(x - a)g(x) + (x - a)(x - b)g'(x).$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} g_1(a) &= m(a - b)g(a) \\ g_1(b) &= n(b - a)g(b). \end{aligned}$$

Since $g_1(a)g_1(b) < 0$, by the Intermediate Value Theorem (1.2) $g_1(x)$ has a root in $]a, b[$ and so does $f'(x)$. \square

Corollary 2.3. (*Mittelwertsatz: Mean Value Theorem*) Let $f(x) \in R[x]$, $a < b \in R$. Then there is $c \in R$, $a < c < b$ such that

$$f'(c) = \frac{f(b) - f(a)}{b - a}.$$

Proof. We can apply Rolle's Satz to

$$F(x) := f(x) - (x - a) \frac{f(b) - f(a)}{b - a},$$

since $F(a) = F(b)$. \square

Corollary 2.4. (*Monotonicity Theorem*). Let $f(x) \in R[x]$, $a < b \in R$. If f' is positive (respectively negative) on $]a, b[$, then f is strictly increasing (respectively strictly decreasing) on $[a, b]$.

Proof. If $a \leq a_1 < b_1 \leq b$, by the Mean Value Theorem there is some $c \in R$, $a_1 < c < b_1$ such that

$$f'(c) = \frac{f(b_1) - f(a_1)}{b_1 - a_1}.$$

\square

3. CHANGES OF SIGN

Definition 3.1.

(i) Let (c_1, \dots, c_n) a finite sequence in R . An index $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ is a **change of sign** (*Vorzeichenwechsel*) if $c_i c_{i+1} < 0$.

(ii) Let (c_1, \dots, c_n) a finite sequence in R . After we have removed all zero's by the sequence, we define

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}(c_1, \dots, c_n) &:= |\{i \in \{1, \dots, n\} : i \text{ is a change of sign}\}| \\ &= |\{i \in \{1, \dots, n\} : c_i c_{i+1} < 0\}|. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3.2. (*Lemma von Descartes*) Let $f(x) = a_n x^n + \dots + a_0 \in R[x]$, $a_n \neq 0$. Then

$$|\{a \in R : a > 0 \text{ and } f(a) = 0\}| \leq \text{Var}(a_n, \dots, a_1, a_0).$$

Proof. By induction on $n = \deg(f)$. The case $n = 1$ is obvious, so suppose $n > 1$. Wlog assume that $a_0 \neq 0$.

Let $r > 0$ be the smallest positive index such that $a_r \neq 0$. By induction applied to

$$f'(x) = na_n x^{n-1} + \dots + ra_r x^{r-1},$$

we know that there are $\text{Var}(na_n, \dots, ra_r) = \text{Var}(a_n, \dots, a_r)$ many positive roots of f' . Set $c :=$ the smallest such positive root of f' (by convention $c := +\infty$ if none exists)

Apply Rolle's Theorem: f has at most $1 + \text{Var}(a_n, \dots, a_r)$ positive roots.

Case 1. If the number of positive roots of f is strictly less than $1 + \text{Var}(a_n, \dots, a_r)$, then the number of positive roots of f is $\leq \text{Var}(a_n, \dots, a_r) \leq \text{Var}(a_n, \dots, a_r, a_0)$ and we are done.

Case 2. Assume f has exactly $1 + \text{Var}(a_n, \dots, a_r)$ positive roots. We claim that in this case

$$1 + \text{Var}(a_n, \dots, a_r) = \text{Var}(a_n, \dots, a_r, a_0).$$

We observe that f has a root a in $]0, c[$.

For $0 < x < c$ we have that $\text{sign}(f'(x)) = \text{sign}(a_r) \neq 0$, so f is strictly monotone in the interval $[0, c]$ (Monotonicity Theorem). So

$$\begin{aligned} a_r > 0 &\Rightarrow a_0 = f(0) < f(a) = 0 \Rightarrow a_0 < 0, \\ a_r < 0 &\Rightarrow a_0 = f(0) > f(a) = 0 \Rightarrow a_0 > 0. \end{aligned}$$

In both cases $a_0 a_r < 0$ and the claim is established. \square

Corollary 3.3. Let $f(x) \in R[x]$ a polynomial with m monomials. Then f has at most $2m - 1$ roots in R .

Proof. Consider $f(x)$ and $f(-x)$. By previous Theorem they have both at most $m - 1$ strictly positive roots in R . So $f(x)$ has at most $2m - 2$ non-zero roots and therefore at most $2m - 1$ roots in R . \square