

# The Automorphism Group of a Valued Hahn Field

Online Seminar talk by  
Salma Kuhlmann on  
Thursday October 26 2023

## I. Introduction

1. Motivation: Let  $(K, v)$  a  
valued field, i.e. a field  $K$   
endowed with a valuation  
 $v: K^\times \longrightarrow G$

where  $G$  is a totally  
ord abelian group

$$\text{st } v(ab) = v(a) + v(b)$$

$$v(a+b) \geq \min \{ v(a), v(b) \}$$

Want to study the group  
 $v\text{-Aut } K$  of valuation

preserving field automorphisms

$\sigma \in \text{Aut } K$  is valuation preserving  
if  $\forall a, b \in K$

$$v(a) = v(b) \Rightarrow v(\sigma(a)) = v(\sigma(b)).$$

② We assume that  $K$  admits a residue field and value group section  
(residue field  $\mathbb{R}_v \mid \mathbb{I}_v := KV$ )

where  $\mathbb{R}_v$  is the val. ring,

$$\text{i.e. } \{x \in K \mid v(x) \geq 0\}$$

$$\text{and } \mathbb{I}_v := \{x \in K \mid v(x) > 0\}$$

$$KV \hookrightarrow K \text{ a field embed.}$$

$$G \hookrightarrow (K^\times, \cdot) \text{ group embedding}$$

Set Notation:  $i(K) = K$ .

# A Theorem of Kaplansky

gives

$$(K, v) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{I}} (K((G)), v_{\min})$$

$K((G))$  is the field of generalised power series or maximal Hahn field

$$K((G)) = \left\{ a = \sum_{g \in G} a_g t^g \mid a_g \in K, \right.$$

support  $a$  is a wellorder in  $G$  }

$$v_{\min}(a) := \min \text{support } a$$

Moreover

$$K((G)) \supseteq i(K) \subseteq K((G))$$

$i(K)$  := minimal Hahn field

$$:= \text{ff}(K[G])$$

$\underbrace{\hspace{10em}}$   
:= subring of  $a \in K((G))$   
support  $a$  is finite

③ Therefore study the  $n$ -Aut  $K$  where  $K$  is a Hahn field, i.e.

$$k(G) \subseteq K \subseteq k((G)).$$

Method: we will identify 2 properties, the 1st lifting property and the 2nd lifting property which help to describe  $n$ -Aut  $K$ .

a distinguished Hahn field is a Hahn field satisfies 1LP and 2LP.

## II. The $\Gamma$ LP.

① In a paper we characterised the valuation preserving automorphisms of a valued field: if

$\sigma \in v\text{-Aut } K$  then

$$\sigma_G : v(a) \mapsto v(\sigma(a))$$

(for  $a \in K$ ), then  $\sigma_G \in \mathcal{O}\text{-Aut } G$

$$\sigma_R : av \mapsto \sigma(a)v$$

(for  $a \in R_v$ )

Then  $\sigma_R \in \text{Aut}(R)$ .

③ The  $\Phi$ -map.

Consider

$$\Phi : v\text{-Aut } K \longrightarrow \text{Aut } R \times \mathcal{O}\text{-Aut } G$$
$$\sigma \longmapsto (\sigma_R, \sigma_G)$$

$\Phi$  is a group homom.

$$\text{ker } \Phi := \text{Int Aut } K$$

$$= \{ b \in v\text{-Aut } K \}$$

$$\forall a \in K : v(a) = v(b(a))$$

$$\forall a \in \mathbb{R}_v : c(a) = c(b(a))$$

where  $c(a) :=$  constant term of  $a$

Definition 1  $K$  has the LLP if  $\Phi$  admits right section i.e. a group hom.

$$\Psi : \text{Aut } K \times 0\text{-Aut } G \rightarrow v\text{-Aut } K$$

such that  $\Phi \circ \Psi = \text{Id}$

Example 1  $K(G)$  admits the canonical lifting property

$$\Psi_c : \text{Aut } K \times 0\text{-Aut } G \rightarrow v\text{-Aut } K$$

$$(\tau, \sigma) \mapsto \phi \text{ s.t.}$$

$$\phi \left( \sum a_g t^g \right) := \sum \tau(a_g) t^{\sigma(g)} \quad *$$

Definition 2: a Hahn field has the canonical lifting property if it is stable under ~~\*~~

Examples: The Rayner fields  $k((F))$ ,  $F$  family of wellord. subsets of  $G$

Example 3:  $k(G)$  has the canonical LLP

④ Definition 3:  $\text{Lm } \varphi := \text{Ext Aut } K$

⑤ Fact (1)  $\text{Int Aut } K \cong v\text{-Aut } K$   
 (2)  $\text{Ext Aut } K \cong \text{Aut } k \times 0\text{-Aut } G$



Therefore

$$v\text{-Aut } K \cong \text{Int Aut } K \rtimes \text{Ext}(A_{\text{cl}}/K)$$

Therefore

1st Decomposition Theorem:

$$v\text{-Aut } K \cong \underbrace{\text{Int Aut } K}_{\text{Describe}} \rtimes \underbrace{(\text{Aut } K \times \text{O-Aut } K)}_{\text{Describing}}$$

Describe  
Int Aut  $K$

Describing  
O-Aut  $G$   
(as  $Xiv$ )

III. The second lifting prop.

Consider the map

$$E: \text{Int Aut } K \rightarrow \text{Hom}(G, K^{\times})$$

Abelian group  
under pairwise  
multiplication  
of characters



$$\mathfrak{G} \xrightarrow{\quad} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \chi_{\mathfrak{G}}: \\ g \mapsto \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\mathfrak{b}(t^g)}{t^g}\right) \\ \forall g \in \mathfrak{G} \end{array} \right.$$

$$\chi_{\mathfrak{G}} \in \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{G}, k^{\times})$$

The map  $\Sigma$  is a well defined  $\mathfrak{G}$ -action on  $\mathfrak{h}$ .  
Consider

$$\text{Aut } \mathfrak{E} := 1 - \text{Aut } k$$

$$= \left\{ \mathfrak{b} \mid \forall a \in k : v(a) = v(\mathfrak{b}(a)) \text{ and the leading coeff. of } a = \text{the " " of } \mathfrak{b}(a) \right\}$$

Definition 1  $k$  has the ZLP if  $\mathfrak{E}$  admits a right section  $\rho$

v.l

$\rho: \text{Hom}(G, k^X) \rightarrow \text{Int Aut } K$   
group hom.

and  $\epsilon \circ \rho = \text{Id}_{\text{Hom}(G, k^X)}$

Example 1:  $k[G]$  2LP

indeed  $x \in \text{Hom}(G, k^X)$

define

$$\phi_x \left( \sum a_g t^g \right) = \sum a_g x(g) t^g$$

Definition 2  $K$  has the 2LP  $**$   
if invariant  $**$

$\text{Int Aut } K \cong \underbrace{1 - \text{Aut } K}_{\text{green}} \times \text{Hom}(G, k^X)$

$\sigma$ -Aut  $K \cong$

$\cong$   $1$ -Aut  $K \times \text{Hom}(G, K^\times)$   
 $\times (\text{Aut } k \times 0\text{-Aut } G)$

— || —

End.