

**MODEL THEORY – EXERCISE 12**

To be submitted on Wednesday 6.07.2011 by 14:00 in the mailbox.

**Definition.** Let  $L$  be a signature.

- (1) A theory  $T$  is said to be *absolutely categorical* if  $M, N \models T \Rightarrow M \cong N$ .
- (2) A theory  $T$  is said to be  $\lambda$ -*categorical* for some cardinal  $\lambda$  if there exists a model of size  $\lambda$  and  $M, N \models T, |M| = |N| = \lambda \Rightarrow M \cong N$ .
- (3) We write  $M \prec N$  when  $M$  is an elementary substructure of  $N$  – if  $\varphi(\bar{x})$  is a formula and  $\bar{a} \in M$  then  $M \models \varphi(\bar{a}) \Leftrightarrow N \models \varphi(\bar{a})$ .

**Question 1.**

Show that if  $M_1 \subseteq M_2 \subseteq M_3$  are  $L$ -structures ( $M_1$  is a substructure of  $M_2$  and  $M_2$  is a substructure of  $M_3$ ) and  $M_2 \prec M_3, M_1 \prec M_3$ , then  $M_1 \prec M_2$ .

Solution: immediate from the definition.

**Question 2.**

Let  $\sigma$  be a signature.

- (1) Assume that  $\sigma$  is finite. Show that if  $M, N$  are two finite structures such that  $M \equiv N$  then  $M \cong N$ .

Moreover, show that if  $M$  is a finite  $\sigma$ -structure, then there is a sentence  $\varphi$  such that  $M \models \varphi$  and if  $N \models \varphi$  then  $N \cong M$ .

Solution: suppose  $M = \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$ . Let  $\alpha(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  say that the  $x_i$ s are distinct and that the universe is  $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ . Let  $R$  be a  $k$ -ary relation symbol. Let  $\psi_R(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  be

$$\bigwedge_{(a_{i_1}, \dots, a_{i_k}) \in R^M} R(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_k}) \wedge \bigwedge_{(a_{i_1}, \dots, a_{i_k}) \notin R^M} \neg R(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_k}).$$

For a  $k$ -ary function symbol  $F$ , let  $\psi_F(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  be

$$\bigwedge_{F(a_{i_1}, \dots, a_{i_k}) = a_{i_j}} F(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_k}) = x_{i_j}.$$

Now note that  $M \models \exists x_1 \dots x_n \alpha(\bar{x}) \wedge \bigwedge_{R \in L} \psi_R(\bar{x}) \wedge \bigwedge_{F \in L} \psi_F(\bar{x})$ , so this sentence holds in  $N$ . Let  $\{b_1, \dots, b_n\} \subseteq N$  witness this. Then  $N = \{b_1, \dots, b_n\}$  (because of  $\alpha$ ) and the function  $a_i \mapsto b_i$  is an isomorphism because of  $\psi_R$  and  $\psi_F$ .

- (2) Now prove (1) (without the “moreover”) for arbitrary  $\sigma$ .

Solution: Suppose  $M \equiv N$  are finite but  $M \not\cong N$ . Then  $|M| = |N|$  but for every injective and surjective function  $f : M \rightarrow N$ ,  $f$  is not an isomorphism. This means that there is some relation (or function symbol),  $R_f \in \sigma$ , that witness this, i.e. there are  $\bar{a} \in M^k$  such that  $\bar{a} \in R_f^M$  but  $f(\bar{a}) \notin R_f^N$ . The number of such functions is finite (bounded by  $|M|^{|M|}$ , even less). Let  $A$  be the set of all such functions. Now let  $\sigma' = \{R_j \mid f \in A\}$ . Then  $\sigma'$  is a finite signature. So there is a sentence  $\varphi$  as in (1). Since  $\varphi \in Th(M)$ ,  $N \models \varphi$ , i.e.  $N \upharpoonright \sigma'$  is isomorphic to  $M \upharpoonright \sigma'$ , and let  $f : M \rightarrow N$  be an  $\sigma'$  isomorphism.

But then  $R_f \in \sigma'$  and this is a contradiction. (Another solution is to do it by induction on  $|\sigma|$ ).

- (3) Conclude that a theory  $T$  is absolutely categorical iff  $T$  is complete and has only finite models.

Solution: suppose  $T$  complete and has only finite models. Then if  $M, N \models T$  then  $M \equiv N$  so use (2). If  $T$  is categorical, and  $M$  is an infinite model, then by the upwards Lowenheim-Skolem theorem,  $T$  has a model of any infinite cardinality, and in particular it has a model which is not isomorphic to  $M$ . This means that all models of  $T$  are finite. On the other hand, if  $M, N \models T$  then  $M \equiv N$  so  $T$  is complete.

**Question 3.**

Let  $L = \{<\}$  where  $<$  is a binary relation symbol. Let  $DLO$  (in class it was denoted by  $DLOWEP$ ) be the theory of densely ordered (between any two points there is another point) linear orders with no end points (i.e. there is no minimal or maximal element).

- (1) Write down the axioms of  $DLO$ .  
 (2) Prove that  $DLO$  is  $\aleph_0$ -categorical.

Hints: assume that  $M, N \models DLO$ .

- (a) Suppose  $f : A \rightarrow B$  is a map such that  $|A| = |B|$  is finite,  $A \subseteq M, B \subseteq N$  and  $f$  is an isomorphism (i.e. order preserving). Suppose  $a \in M$ , show that there is some  $f' \supseteq f$  (i.e. extending  $f$ ) such that  $a \in \text{Dom}(f')$ .

Solution: if  $a \in \text{Dom}(f)$  let  $f' = f$ . Otherwise, if  $a > \text{Dom}(f)$ , find some  $b > \text{Im}(f)$  (why exists?) and let  $f' = f \cup \{(a, b)\}$ . If  $a < \text{Dom}(f)$ , then find  $b < \text{Im}(f)$  and let  $f' = f \cup \{(a, b)\}$ . Otherwise, let  $a_1 < a < a_2$  be such that  $a_1, a_2 \in \text{Dom}(f)$  and there is no  $a' \in \text{Dom}(f)$  such that  $a_1 < a' < a_2$ . Then let  $b$  be between  $f(a_1)$  and  $f(a_2)$  and let  $f' = f \cup \{(a, b)\}$ .

- (b) Suppose  $f : A \rightarrow B$  is a map such that  $|A| = |B|$  is finite,  $A \subseteq M, B \subseteq N$  and  $f$  is an embedding (i.e. order preserving). Suppose  $b \in N$ , show that there is some  $f' \supseteq f$  (i.e. extending  $f$ ) such that  $b \in \text{Im}(f')$ .

Solution: do the same as before, or consider  $f^{-1}$ .

- (c) Now, assume  $|M| = |N| = \aleph_0$  and let  $M = \{a_i \mid i < \omega\}, N = \{b_i \mid i < \omega\}$ . Define a sequence of functions  $f_i$  such that

- $\text{Dom}(f_i), \text{Im}(f_i)$  are finite.
- $f_i : \text{Dom}(f_i) \rightarrow \text{Im}(f_i)$  is an isomorphism.
- $a_i \in \text{Dom}(f_{2i+1}), b_i \in \text{Im}(f_{2i+2})$ .
- $f_i \subseteq f_{i+1}$ .

- (d) Finish the proof.

Solution: take  $f = \bigcup f_i$ .

- (3) Deduce that  $DLO$  is complete.

Solution: Immediate by the Los-Vaught test.

- (4) Prove that  $DLO$  has quantifier elimination (hint: use Exercise 4).

Solution: in Ex. 4, Q 3, it was shown that  $Th(\mathbb{Q}, <)$  has QE. By completeness  $DLO \models Th(\mathbb{Q}, <)$ , so the result follows.

- (5) Show that  $DLO$  is not  $\aleph_1$  categorical.

Solution: Define two models of  $DLO$  of size  $\aleph_1$ : the first is  $\aleph_1$  but with copies of  $\mathbb{Q}$  between any two  $\alpha$  and  $\alpha + 1 < \aleph_1$  and also a copy of  $\mathbb{Q}$  below

0. The second is the same, but instead of a copy of  $\mathbb{Q}$  below 0, put the same order again but in reverse ordering below 0. They are not isomorphic because the first one has no element with more than  $\aleph_0$  elements below it.

**Question 4.**

Let  $K$  be an infinite field. Let  $L = \{m_a \mid a \in k\} \cup \{0, +\}$  where  $m_a$  are unary functions,  $+$  a binary function and  $0$  a constant. We let a  $K$ -vector space be a structure for  $L$  by interpreting  $m_a(v) = a \cdot v$ . Let  $T$  be the theory of an infinite  $K$ -vector space.

- (1) Write down axioms for  $T$ .
- (2) Show that  $T$  is  $\lambda$ -categorical for all  $\lambda > |K| + \aleph_0$ .  
Solution: Let  $V_1, V_2 \models T$  and  $|V_1| = |V_2| = \lambda$ . Let  $B_1 \subseteq V_1$  and  $B_2 \subseteq V_2$  be basis for  $V_1, V_2$  resp. An easy calculation shows that  $|V| = |B| + |K| + \aleph_0$  for any infinite vector space over  $K$  and a basis  $B$ . In our case it follows that  $|B_1| = |B_2|$ . So there is an isomorphism  $f : V_1 \rightarrow V_2$ , that extends any bijection between  $B_1$  and  $B_2$ .
- (3) Conclude that  $T$  is complete.  
Solution: by Los-Vaught test.
- (4) Show that if  $K$  is infinite then  $T$  is not  $|K|$ -categorical.  
Solution: Let  $V_1 = K$  (i.e.  $\dim(V_1) = 1$ ). And  $V_2 = K^2$ .
- (5) Show that if  $V_1 \leq V_2$  are two  $K$ -vector spaces, then  $V_1 \prec V_2$ .  
Solution: Let  $\lambda$  be bigger than  $|V_2| + \aleph_0 + |K|$ . Let  $V_2 \leq V_3$  be of cardinality  $\lambda$ . By upwards Lowenheim-Skolem, there is some  $V_4$  such that  $V_2 \prec V_4$  and  $|V_4| = \lambda$ . Extending a basis of  $V_2$  to a basis of  $V_4$  and to a basis of  $V_3$  gives us an isomorphism  $f : V_3 \rightarrow V_4$  fixing  $V_2$ . This means that  $V_2 \prec V_3$ . By exactly the same argument,  $V_1 \prec V_3$ . Together, by question 1, we see that  $V_1 \prec V_2$ .