

MODEL THEORY – EXERCISE 4

To be submitted on Wednesday 11.05.2011 by 14:00 in the mailbox.

Definition.

Suppose L is a signature.

- (1) A *theory* for L is a set of sentences for L which is consistent (i.e. has a model) and deductively closed (i.e. closed under \models).
- (2) A theory T is said to *eliminate quantifiers* if for every formula $\varphi(x_0, \dots, x_{n-1})$ there exists a quantifier free formula $\psi(x_0, \dots, x_{n-1})$ such that

$$T \models \forall x_0 \forall x_1 \dots \forall x_{n-1} \varphi \leftrightarrow \psi.$$

- (3) Given a structure M , let $Th(M) = \{\varphi \mid M \models \varphi\}$. This is *the theory of M* .
- (4) For a set of sentences Σ , $Mod(\Sigma) = \{M \mid M \models \Sigma\}$.
- (5) For a class of structures K , $Th(K) = \{\varphi \mid \forall M \in K (M \models \varphi)\}$.
- (6) A class of structures K is called elementary if it is of the form $Mod(\Sigma)$ for a set of sentences Σ .
- (7) A theory T is called complete if for every sentence φ , either $\varphi \in T$ or $\neg\varphi \in T$.

Question 1.

Let $L = \{\in\}$ be the signature of set theory, i.e. \in is a binary relation symbol and we write $x \in y$ instead of (x, y) . Of course, \approx is also in L . Write down the following statements as formulas:

- (1) $\varphi_\emptyset(x)$: “ x is the empty set”.
- (2) $\varphi_\subseteq(x, y)$: “ x is a subset of y ”.
- (3) $\varphi_1(x)$: “ x is a singleton, i.e. a set that contains only one element”.
- (4) $\varphi_2(x)$: “ x is a pair, i.e. a set that contains exactly 2 elements”.
- (5) $\varphi_{op}(x, u, v)$: “ x is the ordered pair (u, v) , i.e. x is the set $\{\{u\}, \{u, v\}\}$ ”.
- (6) $\varphi_p(x)$: “ x is an ordered pair, i.e. there are some u, v such that $x = (u, v)$ ”.
- (7) $\varphi_f(x, y, z)$: “ x is a function from y to z ” (i.e. x is a graph of a function from y to z).
- (8) $\varphi_{inj}(x, y, z)$: “ x is an injective function from y to z ”.
- (9) $\varphi_{onto}(x, y, z)$: “ x is a surjective function from y to z ”.
- (10) $\varphi_\infty(x)$: “ x is an infinite set” (hint: x is infinite iff there exists a function from a strict subset of x onto x).
- (11) ψ : “there exists an infinite set ω such that for any infinite set x there exists an injective function from ω to x ”.
- (12) What does the following formula say about x and y :

$$\begin{aligned} & \forall z (z \in x \rightarrow \varphi_p(z) \wedge \forall r \forall w (\varphi_{op}(z, r, w) \rightarrow (r \in y \wedge w \in y))) \wedge \\ & \forall z (z \in x \rightarrow \forall r \forall w (\varphi_{op}(z, r, w) \rightarrow \exists z' (\varphi_{op}(z', w, r) \wedge z' \in x))) \wedge \\ & \forall z \forall z' ((z \in x \wedge z' \in x) \rightarrow \forall r \forall w \forall u (\varphi_{op}(z, r, w) \wedge \varphi_{op}(z', w, u) \rightarrow \exists z'' (\varphi_{op}(z'', r, u) \wedge z'' \in x))) \wedge \\ & \forall z (z \in y \rightarrow \exists z' (z' \in x \wedge \varphi_{op}(z', z, z))) \end{aligned}$$

Solution: x is an equivalence relation on y .

Question 2.

Let $L = \{<\}$. Let $M = (\mathbb{Q}, <)$ the structure of rational numbers with ordering. Given $\bar{a} = (a_0, \dots, a_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{Q}^n$, let the *order type* of \bar{a} be the set of formulas

$$\{x_i < x_j \mid i, j < n, a_i < a_j\} \cup \{x_i \approx x_j \mid i, j < n, a_i = a_j\}.$$

Denote this by $\text{otp}(\bar{a})$. Show that if \bar{a} and \bar{b} are two tuples of length n with the same order type, then there is an automorphism of M that takes \bar{a} to \bar{b} .

Solution: use a piecewise linear map.

Question 3.

Show that the theory $\text{Th}(\mathbb{Q}, <)$ eliminates quantifiers. Work in the signature that has the additional logical connector \perp which is always interpreted as false.

Use the following step.

- (1) Explain why every sentence is equivalent to a quantifier free sentence.
Solution: the theory is complete.
- (2) Given a formula $\varphi(\bar{x})$ where $\bar{x} = (x_0, \dots, x_{n-1})$ with $n > 0$, let $P = \{\text{otp}(\bar{a}) \mid \mathbb{Q} \models \varphi[\bar{a}]\}$. Show that P is a finite set.
Solution: for $i, j < n$ there are three options, $x_i < x_j \in \text{otp}(\bar{a})$, $x_j < x_i \in \text{otp}(\bar{a})$ or neither appear. Hence $|P| \leq (n^2)^3$.
- (3) Show that $\varphi(\bar{x})$ is equivalent modulo $\text{Th}(\mathbb{Q}, <)$ to $\psi(\bar{x}) = \bigvee_{p \in P} \bigwedge p$ (where $\bigwedge p$ is the conjunction of all formulas in p) and conclude.
Solution: if $\varphi(\bar{a})$ holds, then by definition $\text{otp}(\bar{a}) \in P$, so $\bigwedge \text{otp}(\bar{a})$ holds. If $\psi(\bar{a})$ holds, then necessarily $\text{otp}(\bar{a}) \in P$, so there is some \bar{b} with the same order type such that $\varphi(\bar{b})$ holds. But then there is an automorphism of \mathbb{Q} that takes \bar{b} to \bar{a} , so $\varphi(\bar{a})$ also holds. Obviously ψ is quantifier free.

Question 4.

Let L be a signature. Let $\Sigma, \Sigma_1, \Sigma_2$ be set of sentences in L , and K, M non-empty classes of L -structures. Show that:

- (1) $\Sigma_1 \subseteq \Sigma_2 \Rightarrow \text{Mod}(\Sigma_2) \subseteq \text{Mod}(\Sigma_1)$.
- (2) $K \subseteq M \Rightarrow \text{Th}(M) \subseteq \text{Th}(K)$.
- (3) $\Sigma \subseteq \text{Th}(\text{Mod}(\Sigma))$.
- (4) $K \subseteq \text{Mod}(\text{Th}(K))$.
- (5) $\text{Mod}(\Sigma) = \text{Mod}(\text{Th}(\text{Mod}(\Sigma)))$.
Solution: use (1), (3) and (4). By (4) we get \subseteq , by (1) and (3) we get \supseteq .
- (6) $\text{Th}(K) = \text{Th}(\text{Mod}(\text{Th}(K)))$.
Solution: use (2), (3) and (4) as before.
- (7) Show that Σ is a theory iff Σ is consistent and $\Sigma = \text{Th}(\text{Mod}(\Sigma))$.
- (8) Show that K is elementary iff $K = \text{Mod}(\text{Th}(K))$.
Solution: by (5).
- (9) In class you showed that if $\Sigma = \text{Th}(A)$ for a structure A , then Σ is complete. Is it true that if $\Sigma = \text{Th}(\{A_1, A_2\})$ then it must be complete? Give a property of a class of structures K , such that $\text{Th}(K)$ is complete iff K satisfies this property.
Solution: no. A_1 might have 2 elements and A_2 only 1. The property is: if $M, N \in K$ then $M \equiv N$ (meaning they have the same theory).