

**REAL ALGEBRAIC GEOMETRY LECTURE
NOTES
PART II: POSITIVE POLYNOMIALS
(19: 27/04/10 - BEARBEITET 22/12/2022)**

SALMA KUHLMANN

Contents

1. The Real Spectrum	1
2. Topologies on $\mathcal{Sper}(A)$	2
3. Abstract Positivstellensatz	3

1. THE REAL SPECTRUM

Definition 1.1. Let A be a commutative ring with 1. We set:

$$\mathcal{Sper}(A) := \{ \alpha = (\mathfrak{p}, \leq) \mid \mathfrak{p} \text{ is a prime ideal of } A \text{ and } \leq \text{ is an ordering on } ff(A/\mathfrak{p}) \}.$$

Note 1.2. $\mathcal{Sper}(A) := \{ \alpha = (\mathfrak{p}, \leq) \mid \mathfrak{p} \text{ is a real prime and } \leq \text{ an ordering on } ff(A/\mathfrak{p}) \}.$

Definition 1.3. Let $\alpha = (\mathfrak{p}, \leq) \in \mathcal{Sper}(A)$, then $\mathfrak{p} = \text{Supp}(\alpha)$, the **Support** of α .

Recall 1.4. An **ordering** $P \subseteq A$ is a preordering with $P \cup -P = A$ and $\mathfrak{p} := P \cap -P$ prime ideal of A .

Definition 1.5. Alternatively, the **Real Spectrum** of A , $\mathcal{Sper}(A)$ can be defined as:

$$\mathcal{Sper}(A) := \{ P \mid P \subseteq A, P \text{ is an ordering of } A \}.$$

Remark 1.6. The two definitions of $\mathcal{Sper}(A)$ are equivalent in the following sense:

The map

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi: \left\{ \text{Orderings in } A \right\} &\rightsquigarrow \left\{ (\mathfrak{p}, \leq), \mathfrak{p} \text{ real prime, } \leq \text{ ordering on } ff(A/\mathfrak{p}) \right\} \\ P &\longmapsto \mathfrak{p} := P \cap -P, \leq_P \text{ on } ff(A/\mathfrak{p}) \\ &\quad \left(\text{where } \frac{\bar{a}}{b} \geq_P 0 \Leftrightarrow ab \in P \text{ with } \bar{a} = a + \mathfrak{p} \right) \end{aligned}$$

is bijective [where $\varphi^{-1}(\mathfrak{p}, \leq)$ is $P := \{a \in A \mid \bar{a} \geq 0\}$]. \square

2. TOPOLOGIES ON $\mathcal{S}per(A)$

Definition 2.1. The **Spectral Topology** on $\mathcal{S}per(A)$:
 $\mathcal{S}per(A)$ as a topological space, subbasis of open sets is:

$$\mathcal{U}(a) := \{P \in \mathcal{S}per(A) \mid a \notin P\}, a \in A.$$

(So a basis of open sets consists of finite intersection, i.e. of sets

$$\mathcal{U}(a_1, \dots, a_n) := \{P \in \mathcal{S}per(A) \mid a_1, \dots, a_n \notin P\})$$

Then close by arbitrary unions to get all open sets.

This is called Spectral Topology.

Definition 2.2. The **Constructible (or Patch) Topology** on $\mathcal{S}per(A)$ is the topology that is generated by the open sets $\mathcal{U}(a)$ and their complements $\mathcal{S}per(A) \setminus \mathcal{U}(a)$, for $a \in A$.

(Subbasis for constructible topology is $\mathcal{U}(a), \mathcal{S}per(A) \setminus \mathcal{U}(a)$, for $a \in A$.)

Remark 2.3. The constructible topology is finer than the Spectral Topology (i.e. more open sets).

Special case: $A = \mathbb{R}[\underline{X}]$

Proposition 2.4. There is a natural embedding

$$\mathcal{P} : \mathbb{R}^n \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}per(\mathbb{R}[\underline{X}])$$

given by

$$\underline{x} \longmapsto P_{\underline{x}} := \left\{ f \in \mathbb{R}[\underline{X}] \mid f(\underline{x}) \geq 0 \right\}.$$

Proof. The map \mathcal{P} is well defined.

Verify that $P_{\underline{x}}$ is indeed an ordering of A .

Clearly it is a preordering, $P_{\underline{x}} \cup -P_{\underline{x}} = \mathbb{R}[\underline{X}]$.

$\mathfrak{p} := P_{\underline{x}} \cap -P_{\underline{x}} = \{f \in \mathbb{R}[\underline{X}] \mid f(\underline{x}) = 0\}$ is actually a maximal ideal of $\mathbb{R}[\underline{X}]$,

since $\mathfrak{p} = \text{Ker}(ev_{\underline{x}})$, the kernel of the evaluation map

$$\begin{aligned} ev_{\underline{x}} : \mathbb{R}[\underline{X}] &\longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \\ f &\longmapsto f(\underline{x}) \end{aligned}$$

so, $\frac{\mathbb{R}[\underline{X}]}{\mathfrak{p}} \simeq \underbrace{\mathbb{R}}_{\text{a field}}$ (by first isomorphism theorem)

$\Rightarrow \mathfrak{p}$ maximal $\Rightarrow \mathfrak{p}$ is prime ideal. □

Theorem 2.5. $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the image of \mathbb{R}^n in $\mathcal{Sper}(\mathbb{R}[\underline{X}])$ is dense in $(\mathcal{Sper}(\mathbb{R}[\underline{X}]), \text{Constructible Topology})$ and hence in $(\mathcal{Sper}(\mathbb{R}[\underline{X}]), \text{Spectral Topology})$.
(i.e. $\overline{\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{\text{patch}} = \mathcal{Sper}(\mathbb{R}[\underline{X}])$).

Proof. By definition, a basic open set in $\mathcal{Sper}(\mathbb{R}[\underline{X}])$ has the form

$\mathcal{U} = \{P \in \mathcal{Sper}(\mathbb{R}[\underline{X}]) \mid f_i \notin P, g_j \in P; i = 1, \dots, s, j = 1, \dots, t\}$, for some $f_i, g_j \in \mathbb{R}[\underline{X}]$.

Let $P \in \mathcal{U}$ (open neighbourhood of $P \in \mathcal{Sper}(\mathbb{R}[\underline{X}])$)

We want to **show that:** $\exists \underline{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ s.t. $P_{\underline{y}} \in \mathcal{U}$

Consider $F = \mathbb{R}[\underline{X}]/\mathfrak{p}$; $\mathfrak{p} = \text{Supp}(P) = P \cap -P$ and \leq ordering on F induced by P .

Then (F, \leq) is an ordered field extension of (\mathbb{R}, \leq) .

Consider $\underline{x} = (\overline{x_1}, \dots, \overline{x_n}) \in F^n$, where $\overline{x_i} = X_i + \mathfrak{p}$

Then by definition of \leq we have (as in the proof of PSS):

$f_i(\underline{x}) < 0$ and $g_j(\underline{x}) \geq 0$; $\forall i = 1, \dots, s, j = 1, \dots, t$.

By Tarski Transfer, $\exists \underline{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ s.t.

$f_i(\underline{y}) < 0$ ($\Leftrightarrow f_i \notin P_{\underline{y}}$) and $g_j(\underline{y}) \geq 0$ ($\Leftrightarrow g_j \in P_{\underline{y}}$) ; $i = 1, \dots, s, j = 1, \dots, t$

$\Leftrightarrow P_{\underline{y}} \in \mathcal{U}$ □

3. ABSTRACT POSITIVSTELLENSATZ

Recall 3.1. T proper preordering $\Rightarrow \exists P$ an ordering of A s.t. $P \supseteq T$.

Definiton 3.2. Let P be an ordering of A , fix $a \in A$. We define **Sign of a at P** :

$$a(P) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } a \notin -P \\ 0 & \text{if } a \in P \cap -P \\ -1 & \text{if } a \notin P \end{cases}$$

(Note that this allows to consider $a \in A$ as a map on $\mathcal{S}per(A)$).

Notation 3.3. We write: $a > 0$ at P if $a(P) = 1$
 $a = 0$ at P if $a(P) = 0$
 $a < 0$ at P if $a(P) = -1$

Note that (in this notation) $a \geq 0$ at P iff $a \in P$.

Definition 3.4. Let $T \subseteq A$, then the **Relative Spectrum** of A with respect to T is

$$\mathcal{S}per_T(A) = \{P \mid P \supseteq T; P \in \mathcal{S}per(A)\}.$$

Proposition 3.5. Let $T \subseteq A$ be a finitely generated preordering, say $T = T_S$; where $S = \{g_1, \dots, g_s\} \subseteq A$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}per_T(A) &= \mathcal{S}per_S(A) = \{P \in \mathcal{S}per(A) \mid g_i \in P ; i = 1, \dots, s\} \\ &= \{P \in \mathcal{S}per(A) \mid g_i(P) \geq 0 ; i = 1, \dots, s\} \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Remark 3.5. Let $T \subseteq A$

(i) $\mathcal{S}per_T(A)$ inherits the relative spectral (respectively constructible) topology.

(ii) In case $T = T_{\{g_1, \dots, g_s\}}$ is a finitely generated preordering, then the proof of Theorem 2.5 goes through to give the following relative version for $\mathcal{S}per_T$:

Theorem 3.6. (Relative version of Theorem 2.5) Let $T = T_S =$ finitely generated preordering; $S = \{g_1, \dots, g_s\}$. Let $K = K_S = \{\underline{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid g_i(\underline{x}) \geq 0\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, a basic closed semi-algebraic set. Consider $(\mathcal{S}per_T, \text{Constructible Topology})$. Then

$$\mathcal{P} : K \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{Sper}_T(\mathbb{R}[\underline{X}])$$

(defined as before)

$$\underline{x} \longmapsto P_{\underline{x}} = \left\{ f \in \mathbb{R}[\underline{X}] \mid f(\underline{x}) \geq 0 \right\}$$

is well defined (i.e. $P_{\underline{x}} \supseteq T \forall \underline{x} \in K$).

Moreover $\mathcal{P}(K)$ is dense in $(\mathcal{Sper}_T(\mathbb{R}[\underline{X}]), \text{Constructible Topology})$.

Proof. The proof is analogous to the proof of Theorem 2.5.

(Note the fact that T is finitely generated is crucial here to be able to apply Tarski Transfer.) \square

Theorem 3.7. (Abstract Positivstellensatz) Let A be a commutative ring, $T \subseteq A$ be a preordering of A (not necessarily finitely generated). Then for $a \in A$:

- (1) $a > 0$ on $\mathcal{Sper}_T(A) \Leftrightarrow \exists p, q \in T$ s.t. $pa = 1 + q$
- (2) $a \geq 0$ on $\mathcal{Sper}_T(A) \Leftrightarrow \exists p, q \in T, m \geq 0$ s.t. $pa = a^{2m} + q$
- (3) $a = 0$ on $\mathcal{Sper}_T(A) \Leftrightarrow \exists m \geq 0$ s.t. $-a^{2m} \in T$.

Proof. (1) Let $a > 0$ on $\mathcal{Sper}_T(A)$. Suppose for a contradiction that there are no elements $p, q \in T$ s.t. $pa = 1 + q$ i.e. s.t. $-1 = q - pa$

i.e. $-1 \neq q - pa \forall p, q \in T$

Thus $-1 \notin T' := T - Ta$.

$\Rightarrow T'$ is a proper preordering.

So (by recall 3.1) $\exists P$ an ordering of A with $T' \subseteq P$.

Now observe that $T \subseteq P$ i.e. $P \in \mathcal{Sper}_T(A)$ but $-a \in P$ (i.e. $a(P) \leq 0$) i.e. $a \leq 0$ on P , a contradiction to the assumption. \square

Proposition 3.8. Abstract Positivstellensatz \Rightarrow Positivstellensatz.

Proof. $A = \mathbb{R}[\underline{X}], T = T_S = T_{\{g_1, \dots, g_s\}}, K = K_S$.

It suffices to show (2) of PSS [Theorem 1.1 of lecture 03 on 20/04/10], i.e. $f \geq 0$ on $K_S \Leftrightarrow \exists m \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \exists p, q \in T_S$ s.t. $pf = f^{2m} + q$.

Let $f \in \mathbb{R}[\underline{X}]$ and $f \geq 0$ on K_S .

It suffices [by (2) of Theorem 3.7] to show that $f \geq 0$ on $\mathcal{Sper}_T(\mathbb{R}[\underline{X}])$:

If not then $\exists P \in \mathcal{Sper}_T(\mathbb{R}[\underline{X}])$ s.t. $f \notin P$

So, $P \in \mathcal{U}_T(f)$

(open neighbourhood of $P \in \mathcal{Sper}_T(\mathbb{R}[\underline{X}])$)

Now by Theorem 3.6 (i.e. relative density of $\mathcal{P}(K)$ in $\mathcal{Sper}_T(\mathbb{R}[\underline{X}])$):

$\exists \underline{x} \in K$ s.t. $P_{\underline{x}} \in \mathcal{U}_T(f)$

$\Rightarrow f \notin P_{\underline{x}} \Rightarrow f(\underline{x}) < 0$, a contradiction to the assumption. □