

REAL ALGEBRAIC GEOMETRY LECTURE NOTES
PART II: POSITIVE POLYNOMIALS
(17: BEARBEITET 15/12/2022)

SALMA KUHLMANN

Contents

1. Geometric version of Positivstellensatz	1
2. Exkurs in commutative algebra	6

1. GEOMETRIC VERSION OF POSITIVSTELLENSATZ

Theorem 1.1. (Recall) (Positivstellensatz: Geometric Version) Let $A = \mathbb{R}[\underline{X}]$. Let $S = \{g_1, \dots, g_s\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}[\underline{X}]$, $f \in \mathbb{R}[\underline{X}]$. Then

- (1) $f > 0$ on $K_S \Leftrightarrow \exists p, q \in T_S$ s.t. $pf = 1 + q$
(Striktpositivstellensatz)
- (2) $f \geq 0$ on $K_S \Leftrightarrow \exists m \in \mathbb{N}_0, \exists p, q \in T_S$ s.t. $pf = f^{2m} + q$
(Nonnegativstellensatz)
- (3) $f = 0$ on $K_S \Leftrightarrow \exists m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ s.t. $-f^{2m} \in T_S$
(Real Nullstellensatz (first form))
- (4) $K_S = \emptyset \Leftrightarrow -1 \in T_S$.

Proof. It consists of two parts:

-Step I: prove that (1) \Rightarrow (2) \Rightarrow (3) \Rightarrow (4) \Rightarrow (1)

-Step II: prove (4) [using Tarski Transfer]

We will start with step II:

Clearly $K_S \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow -1 \notin T_S$ (since $-1 \in T_S \Rightarrow K_S = \emptyset$), so it only remains to prove the following proposition:

Proposition 1.2. (3.2 of last lecture) If $-1 \notin T_S$ (i.e. if T_S is a proper preordering), then $K_S \neq \emptyset$.

For proving this we need the following results:

Lemma 1.3.1. (3.4.1 of last lecture) Let A be a commutative ring with 1. Let P be a maximal proper preordering in A . Then P is an ordering.

Proof. We have to show:

- (i) $P \cup -P = A$, and
- (ii) $\mathfrak{p} := P \cap -P$ is a prime ideal of A .

- (i) Assume $a \in A$, but $a \notin P \cup -P$.

By maximality of P , we have: $-1 \in (P + aP)$ and $-1 \in (P - aP)$

Thus

$$-1 = s_1 + at_1 \quad \text{and}$$

$$-1 = s_2 - at_2 \quad ; \quad \text{for some } s_1, s_2, t_1, t_2 \in P$$

So (rewriting)

$$-at_1 = 1 + s_1 \quad \text{and}$$

$$at_2 = 1 + s_2$$

Multiplying we get:

$$-a^2 t_1 t_2 = 1 + s_1 + s_2 + s_1 s_2$$

$$\Rightarrow -1 = s_1 + s_2 + s_1 s_2 + a^2 t_1 t_2 \in P, \text{ a contradiction.}$$

- (ii) Now consider $\mathfrak{p} := P \cap -P$, clearly it is an ideal.

We claim that \mathfrak{p} is prime.

Let $ab \in \mathfrak{p}$ and $a, b \notin \mathfrak{p}$.

Assume w.l.o.g. that $a, b \notin P$.

Then as above in (i), we get:

$$-1 \in (P + aP) \text{ and } -1 \in (P + bP)$$

So, $-1 = s_1 + at_1$ and

$$-1 = s_2 + bt_2 \quad ; \quad \text{for some } s_1, s_2, t_1, t_2 \in P$$

Rearranging and multiplying we get:

$$(at_1)(bt_2) = (1 + s_1)(1 + s_2) = 1 + s_1 + s_2 + s_1 s_2$$

$$\Rightarrow -1 = \underbrace{s_1 + s_2 + s_1 s_2}_{\in P} \underbrace{-abt_1 t_2}_{\in \mathfrak{p} \subset P}$$

$$\Rightarrow -1 \in P, \text{ a contradiction.} \quad \square$$

Lemma 1.3.2. (3.4.2 of last lecture) Let A be a commutative ring with 1 and $P \subseteq A$ an ordering. Then P induces uniquely an ordering \leq_P on $F := f.f(A/\mathfrak{p})$ defined by:

$\forall a, b \in A, b \notin \mathfrak{p} : \frac{\bar{a}}{b} \geq_P 0$ (in F) $\Leftrightarrow ab \in P$, where $\bar{a} = a + \mathfrak{p}$. □

Recall 1.3.3. (Tarski Transfer Principle) Suppose $(\mathbb{R}, \leq) \subseteq (F, \leq)$ is an ordered field extension of \mathbb{R} . If $\underline{x} \in F^n$ satisfies a finite system of polynomial equations and inequalities with coefficients in \mathbb{R} , then $\exists \underline{r} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ satisfying the same system. □

Using lemma 1.3.1, lemma 1.3.2 and TTP (recall 1.3.3), we prove the proposition 1.2 as follows:

Proof of Proposition 1.2. To show: $-1 \notin T_S \Rightarrow K_S \neq \emptyset$.

Set $S = \{g_1, \dots, g_s\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}[X]$

$-1 \notin T_S \Rightarrow T_S$ is a proper preordering.

By Zorn, extend T_S to a maximal proper preordering P .

By lemma 1.3.1, P is an ordering on $\mathbb{R}[X]$; $\mathfrak{p} := P \cap -P$ is prime.

By lemma 1.3.2, let $(F, \leq_P) = (ff(\mathbb{R}[X]/\mathfrak{p}), \leq_P)$ is an ordered field extension of (\mathbb{R}, \leq) .

Now consider the system $\mathcal{S} := \begin{cases} g_1 \geq 0 \\ \vdots \\ g_s \geq 0. \end{cases}$

Claim: The system \mathcal{S} has a solution in F^n , namely $\underline{X} := (\overline{X}_1, \dots, \overline{X}_n)$,

i.e. to show: $g_i(\overline{X}_1, \dots, \overline{X}_n) \geq_P 0$; $i = 1, \dots, s$.

Indeed $g_i(\overline{X}_1, \dots, \overline{X}_n) = \overline{g_i(X_1, \dots, X_n)}$, and since $g_i \in T_S \subset P$, it follows by definition of \leq_P that $\overline{g_i} \geq_P 0$.

Now apply TTP (recall 1.3.3) to conclude that:

$\exists \underline{r} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ satisfying the system \mathcal{S} , i.e. $g_i(\underline{x}) \geq 0$; $i = 1, \dots, s$.

$\Rightarrow \underline{r} \in K_S \Rightarrow K_S \neq \emptyset$.

This completes step II. □

Now we will do step I:

i.e. we show (1) \Rightarrow (2) \Rightarrow (3) \Rightarrow (4) \Rightarrow (1)

(1) \Rightarrow (2)

Let $f \geq 0$ on K_S , $f \neq 0$.

Consider $S' \subseteq \mathbb{R}[\underline{X}, Y]$, $S' := S \cup \{Yf - 1, -Yf + 1\}$

So, $K_{S'} = \{(\underline{x}, y) \mid g_i(\underline{x}) \geq 0, i = 1, \dots, n; yf(\underline{x}) = 1\}$.

Thus $f(\underline{X}, Y) = f(\underline{X}) > 0$ on $K_{S'}$, so applying (1) $\exists p', q' \in T_{S'}$ s.t.

$$p'(\underline{X}, Y)f(\underline{X}) = 1 + q'(\underline{X}, Y)$$

Substitute $Y := \frac{1}{f(\underline{X})}$ in above equation and clear denominators by multiplying both sides by $f(\underline{X})^{2m}$ for $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ sufficiently large to get:

$$p(\underline{X})f(\underline{X}) = f(\underline{X})^{2m} + q(\underline{X}),$$

with $p(\underline{X}) := f(\underline{X})^{2m} p'(\underline{X}, \frac{1}{f(\underline{X})}) \in \mathbb{R}[\underline{X}]$ and

$$q(\underline{X}) := f(\underline{X})^{2m} q'(\underline{X}, \frac{1}{f(\underline{X})}) \in \mathbb{R}[\underline{X}].$$

To finish the proof we **claim** that: $p(\underline{X}), q(\underline{X}) \in T_S$ for sufficiently large m .

Observe that $p'(\underline{X}, Y) \in T_{S'}$, so p' is a sum of terms of the form:

$$\underbrace{\sigma(\underline{X}, Y)}_{\in \Sigma\mathbb{R}[\underline{X}, Y]^2} g_1^{e_1} \dots g_s^{e_s} (Yf(\underline{X})-1)^{e_{s+1}} (-Yf(\underline{X})+1)^{e_{s+2}}; e_1, \dots, e_s, e_{s+1}, e_{s+2} \in \{0, 1\}$$

$$\text{say } \sigma(\underline{X}, Y) = \sum_j h_j(\underline{X}, Y)^2.$$

Now when we substitute Y by $\frac{1}{f(\underline{X})}$ in $p'(\underline{X}, Y)$, all terms with e_{s+1} or e_{s+2} equal to 1 vanish.

So, the remaining terms are of the form

$$\sigma\left(\underline{X}, \frac{1}{f(\underline{X})}\right) g_1^{e_1} \dots g_s^{e_s} = \left(\sum_j \left[h_j\left(\underline{X}, \frac{1}{f(\underline{X})}\right) \right]^2 \right) g_1^{e_1} \dots g_s^{e_s}$$

So, we want to choose m large enough so that $f(\underline{X})^{2m} \sigma\left(\underline{X}, \frac{1}{f(\underline{X})}\right) \in \Sigma\mathbb{R}[\underline{X}]^2$.

$$\text{Write } h_j(\underline{X}, Y) = \sum_i h_{ij}(\underline{X}) Y^i$$

Let $m \geq \deg(h_j(\underline{X}, Y))$ in Y , for all j .

Substituting $Y = \frac{1}{f(\underline{X})}$ in $h_j(\underline{X}, Y)$ and multiplying by $f(\underline{X})^m$, we get:

$$f(\underline{X})^m h_j\left(\underline{X}, \frac{1}{f(\underline{X})}\right) = \sum_i h_{ij}(\underline{X}) f(\underline{X})^{m-i}, \text{ with } (m-i) \geq 0 \forall i$$

so that $f(\underline{X})^m h_j\left(\underline{X}, \frac{1}{f(\underline{X})}\right) \in \mathbb{R}[\underline{X}]$, for all j .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{So } f(\underline{X})^{2m} \sigma\left(\underline{X}, \frac{1}{f(\underline{X})}\right) &= f(\underline{X})^{2m} \left(\sum_j \left[h_j\left(\underline{X}, \frac{1}{f(\underline{X})}\right) \right]^2 \right) \\ &= \sum_j \left[f(\underline{X})^m h_j\left(\underline{X}, \frac{1}{f(\underline{X})}\right) \right]^2 \in \Sigma \mathbb{R}[\underline{X}]^2 \end{aligned}$$

Thus p and (similarly) $q \in T_S$, which proves our claim and hence (1) \Rightarrow (2). \square

(2) \Rightarrow (3)

Assume $f = 0$ on K_S . Apply (2) to f and $-f$ to get:

$$\begin{aligned} p_1 f &= f^{2m_1} + q_1 \quad \text{and} \\ -p_2 f &= f^{2m_2} + q_2; \quad \text{for some } p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2 \in T_S, m_i \in \mathbb{N}_0 \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying yields:

$$\begin{aligned} -p_1 p_2 f^2 &= f^{2(m_1+m_2)} + f^{2m_1} q_2 + f^{2m_2} q_1 + q_1 q_2 \\ \Rightarrow -f^{2(m_1+m_2)} &= \underbrace{p_1 p_2 f^2 + f^{2m_1} q_2 + f^{2m_2} q_1 + q_1 q_2}_{\in T_S} \end{aligned}$$

i.e. $-f^{2m} \in T_S$. \square

(3) \Rightarrow (4)

Assume $K_S = \emptyset$

\Rightarrow the constant polynomial $f(\underline{X}) \equiv 1$ vanishes on K_S .

Applying (3), gives $-1 \in T_S$. \square

(4) \Rightarrow (1)

Let $S' = S \cup \{-f\}$

Since $f > 0$ on K_S we have $K_{S'} = \emptyset$, so $-1 \in T_{S'}$ by (4).

Moreover from $S' = S \cup \{-f\}$, we have $T_{S'} = T_S - fT_S$

$\Rightarrow -1 = q - pf$; for some $p, q \in T_S$

i.e. $pf = 1 + q$ \square

This completes step I and hence the proof of Positivstellensatz. $\square\square$

We will now study other forms of the Real Nullstellensatz that will relate it to Hilbert's Nullstellensatz.

2. EXKURS IN COMMUTATIVE ALGEBRA

Recall 2.1. Let K be a field, $S \subseteq K[\underline{X}]$. Define

$$\mathcal{Z}(S) := \{ \underline{x} \in K^n \mid g(\underline{x}) = 0 \ \forall g \in S \}, \text{ the zero set of } S.$$

Proposition 2.2. Let $V \subseteq K^n$. Then the following are equivalent:

- (1) $V = \mathcal{Z}(S)$; for some finite $S \subseteq K[\underline{X}]$
- (2) $V = \mathcal{Z}(S)$; for some set $S \subseteq K[\underline{X}]$
- (3) $V = \mathcal{Z}(I)$; for some ideal $I \subseteq K[\underline{X}]$

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) Clear.

(2) \Rightarrow (3) Take $I := \langle S \rangle$, the ideal generated by S .

(3) \Rightarrow (1) Using Hilbert Basis Theorem (i.e. for a field K , every ideal in $K[\underline{X}]$ is finitely generated):

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \langle S \rangle, S \text{ finite} \\ &\Rightarrow \mathcal{Z}(I) = \mathcal{Z}(S). \end{aligned}$$

□

Definition 2.3. $V \subseteq K^n$ is an **algebraic set** if V satisfies one of the equivalent conditions of Proposition 2.2.

Definition 2.4. Given a subset $A \subseteq K^n$, we form:

$$\mathcal{I}(A) := \{ f \in K[\underline{X}] \mid f(\underline{a}) = 0 \ \forall \underline{a} \in A \}.$$

Proposition 2.5. Let $A \subseteq K^n$. Then

- (1) $\mathcal{I}(A)$ is an ideal called the **ideal of vanishing polynomials** on A .
- (2) If $A = V$ is an algebraic set in K^n , then $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{I}(V)) = V$
- (3) the map $V \mapsto \mathcal{I}(V)$ is a 1-1 map from the set of algebraic sets in K^n into the set of ideals of $K[\underline{X}]$. □

Remark 2.6. Note that for an ideal I of $K[\underline{X}]$, the inclusion $I \subseteq \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{Z}(I))$ is always true.

[*Proof.* Say (by Hilbert Basis Theorem) $I = \langle g_1, \dots, g_s \rangle$, $g_i \in K[\underline{X}]$. Then

$$\mathcal{Z}(I) = \{\underline{x} \in K^n \mid g_i(\underline{x}) = 0 \forall i = 1, \dots, s\},$$

$$\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{Z}(I)) = \{f \in K[\underline{X}] \mid f(\underline{x}) = 0 \forall \underline{x} \in \mathcal{Z}(I)\}.$$

Assume $f = h_1 g_1 + \dots + h_s g_s \in I$, then $f(\underline{x}) = 0 \forall \underline{x} \in \mathcal{Z}(I)$

[since by definition $\underline{x} \in \mathcal{Z}(I) \Rightarrow g_i(\underline{x}) = 0 \forall i = 1, \dots, s$]

$\Rightarrow f \in \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{Z}(I)).$

□]

But in general it is false that $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{Z}(I)) = I$. Hilbert's Nullstellensatz studies necessary and sufficient conditions on K and I so that this identity holds.