

**REAL ALGEBRAIC GEOMETRY LECTURE NOTES**  
(11: 18/05/15)

SALMA KUHLMANN

CONTENTS

1. The field of generalized power series 1

1. THE FIELD OF GENERALIZED POWER SERIES

Let  $k \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  be an Archimedean field and  $G$  an ordered abelian group. Recall that we have defined a (totally) ordered abelian group, namely the Hahn product

$$\mathbb{K} := \mathbb{H}_G(k, +, 0, <),$$

i.e. take the Hahn product over the family  $S := [G, \{k : g \in G\}]$  with the lexicographic ordering, i.e.

$$\mathbb{K} := \{s : G \rightarrow k : \text{support } s \text{ is well-ordered in } G\},$$

where  $\text{support } s := \{g \in G : s(g) \neq 0\}$ .

Endow this set with pointwise addition of functions, i.e.  $\forall s, r \in \mathbb{K}$

$$(s + r)(g) := s(g) + r(g) \in k,$$

and the lexicographic order:

$$s > 0 :\Leftrightarrow s(\min \text{support}(s)) > 0 \text{ in } k \quad \forall s \in \mathbb{K} \setminus \{0\}.$$

We have verified that  $(\mathbb{K}, +, <_{\text{lex}})$  is an ordered abelian group. Our first goal of today is to make  $\mathbb{K}$  into a (totally) ordered field. We need to define multiplication.

**Notation 1.1.** For  $s \in \mathbb{K}$  write

$$s = \sum_{g \in G} s(g)t^g = \sum_{g \in \text{support } s} s(g)t^g.$$

**Definition 1.2.** For  $r, s \in \mathbb{K}$  define

$$(rs)(g) := \sum_{h \in G} r(g-h)s(h),$$

i.e.

$$sr = \sum_{g \in G} \left( \sum_{h \in G} r(g-h)s(h) \right) t^g.$$

We now address the following problem: Let  $\mathfrak{F} := \{s_i : i \in I\} \subseteq \mathbb{K}$ . Can we "make sense" of  $\sum_{i \in I} s_i$  as an element of  $\mathbb{K}$ ?

**Definition 1.3.**

- (i) The family  $\mathfrak{F}$  is said to be **summable**, if
- (1)  $\text{support } \mathfrak{F} := \bigcup_{i \in I} \text{support } s_i$  is well-ordered in  $G$ ,
  - (2)  $\forall g \in \text{support } \mathfrak{F}$ , the set  $S_g := \{i \in I : g \in \text{support } s_i\}$  is finite.

(ii) Assume that  $\mathfrak{F}$  is summable. Write

$$\sum_{i \in I} s_i := \sum_{g \in \text{support } \mathfrak{F}} \left( \sum_{i \in S_g} s_i(g) \right) t^g.$$

We now prove that this multiplication is well-defined. For  $h \in G$  define

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_h &:= t^h r := \sum_{g \in G} r(g) t^{g+h} \\ &= \sum_{g \in \text{support } r} r(g) t^{g+h}, \end{aligned}$$

i.e.  $\rho_h(g) = r(g-h) \forall g \in G$ . Note that  $\rho_h \in \mathbb{K}$  because

$$\text{support } \rho_h = \text{support } r \oplus \{h\} = \{g+h : g \in \text{support } r\},$$

which is again well-ordered (ÜA).

We now consider

$$\mathfrak{F} := \{s(h)\rho_h : h \in \text{support } s\}.$$

**Lemma 1.4.**  $\mathfrak{F}$  is summable.

Note that once the lemma is established we define

$$sr = \sum_{h \in \text{support } s} s(h)\rho_h = \sum_{g \in \text{support } \mathfrak{F}} \left( \sum_{h \in S_g} s(h)\rho_h(g) \right) t^g,$$

and comparing, we see that this is the product.

*Proof.* (1) Show that  $\text{support } \mathfrak{F} = \bigcup_{h \in \text{support } s} \text{support}(\rho_h(s(h)))$  is well-ordered. Indeed

$$\begin{aligned} \bigcup_{h \in \text{support } s} \text{support}(\rho_h s(h)) &= \bigcup_{h \in \text{support } s} (\text{support } r \oplus \{h\}) \\ &= \text{support } s + \text{support } r. \end{aligned}$$

ÜA: If  $A, B$  are well-ordered, then  $A \oplus B$  is well-ordered.

(2) Show that  $S_g = \{h \in \text{support } s : g \in \text{support}(\rho_h s(h))\}$  is finite for  $g \in \text{support } \mathfrak{F}$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} S_g &:= \{h \in \text{support } s : g \in \text{support } r \oplus \{h\}\} \\ &= \{h \in \text{support } s : g = g' + h, g' \in \text{support } r\} \\ &= \{h \in \text{support } s : g - h \in \text{support } r\}. \end{aligned}$$

Assume  $S_g$  is infinite. Since  $S_g$  is well-ordered, take an infinite strictly increasing sequence in it, say a sequence of  $h$ 's in it. But then  $g - h$ 's is an infinite strictly decreasing sequence in support  $r$ , contradicting that support  $r$  is well-ordered.  $\square$

Note we have shown that  $\text{support}(rs) \subseteq \text{support } r \oplus \text{support } s$ .

**Notation 1.5.**  $\mathbb{K} = k((G))$ .

Our next goal is to show that  $k((G))$  with the convolution multiplication is a field. We give two proofs:

- (1) Follows from “Neumann’s lemma” (now)
- (2) From S. Priek-Crampe:  $k((G))$  is pseudo-complete (later)

**Lemma 1.6.** (*Neumann’s lemma*)

Let  $\varepsilon \in k((G))$  such that  $\text{support } \varepsilon \subseteq G^{>0}$  (written  $\varepsilon \in k((G^{>0}))$ ) and  $\{c_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset k^*$ . Then the family  $\mathfrak{F} = \{c_n \varepsilon^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is summable, i.e.  $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} c_n \varepsilon^n \in k((G))$ .

**Corollary 1.7.**  $k((G))$  is a field.

*Proof.* Let  $s \in k((G))$ ,  $s \neq 0$ . Set  $g_0 := \min \text{support } s$  and  $c_0 = s(g_0) \neq 0$ . Write

$$s = c_0 t^{g_0} (1 - \varepsilon),$$

where

$$\varepsilon = - \sum_{\substack{g > g_0 \\ g \in \text{support } s}} \frac{s(g)}{c_0} t^{g-g_0} \in k((G^{>0})),$$

so

$$s^{-1} := c_0^{-1} t^{-g_0} \left( \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \varepsilon^i \right).$$

Verify that

$$\left( \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \varepsilon^i \right) (1 - \varepsilon) = 1,$$

i.e.

$$(1 - \varepsilon)^{-1} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \varepsilon^i.$$

$\square$